RAISSA CARPENTER - CURRENTLY ASSIGNED DEFENSE COUNSEL FOR GUERTIN

I. SYNTHETIC MCRO CASES LINKED TO RAISSA CARPENTER

Raissa Carpenter is listed as an attorney (usually defense counsel) in multiple fabricated case dockets. Key cases involving Carpenter include:

- 27-CR-22-18209 State v. Juliet Kay Higgins
 Felony Domestic Assault by Strangulation (filed 2022-09-13)
- 2. 27-CR-22-24627 State v. Rex Allen Basswood, Jr. Felony Simple Robbery (filed 2022-12-09)
- **3. 27-CR-23-5751 State v. Lucas Patrick Kraskey** Felony 5th Degree Drug Possession (filed 2023-03-17)
- **4. 27-CR-23-12653 State v. Jacob Joseph Schech**Felony Fleeing a Peace Officer in a Motor Vehicle (filed 2023-06-20)
- 5. **27-CR-23-21653 State v. Robert William Balsimo**Felony Domestic Assault (filed 2023-10-10)

These five cases span 2022–2023 and all feature Raissa Carpenter in the defense counsel roster. Most were left "Dormant" (inactive) in status, with only the Basswood case marked "Open". All five involve serious charges (each a felony offense) but show irregular patterns of administration consistent with synthetic (fabricated) cases.

II. JUDICIAL ASSIGNMENT PATTERNS

The judicial assignments in these cases show unusual reassignments and involvement of specific judges:

A | Frequent Judge Turnover

Several cases underwent multiple judge changes. For example, the Schech case saw three different judges in a few months (initially Judge Lisa Janzen who recused, then Judge Julie Allyn, then Judge Jean Burdorf by Nov 2023). The Basswood case was reassigned from Judge

Michael Burns to Judge Hilary Caligiuri in Jan 2024. The Lucas Kraskey case similarly shifted from Judge Melissa Houghtaling to Judge Matthew Frank in Jan 2024. Such frequent reassignments are atypical and suggest deliberate orchestration.

B | Involvement of Key Judges

Notably, judges linked to the synthetic operation appear in ancillary roles. In the Balsimo case, after the initial assignment to Judge Janzen, subsequent interim orders (e.g. bail conditions) were issued by Judges Danielle Mercurio and Julia Dayton-Klein — two of the three judges identified as central operators of the fake-case network. This indicates behind-the-scenes steering of these cases by the conspirators' preferred judicial actors.

C | Assigned vs. Acting Judge Discrepancies

Some cases list one judge as assigned in the official record but show orders signed by others. This inconsistency (e.g. Judge Janzen assigned in Balsimo, yet Judge Klein setting conditions) underscores the synthetic nature of the proceedings, as multiple jurists intervene without clear cause.

III. ATTORNEY INVOLVEMENT AND REPETITION

Across these cases, the roster of attorneys – both prosecution and defense – is implausibly extensive and repetitive, revealing a pattern of recycled legal identities:

A | Overloaded Attorney Rosters

Each case docket lists an unusually high number of attorneys. For instance, the Higgins case lists 7 attorneys (1 lead prosecutor, 1 lead defense – Carpenter – plus 4 additional prosecutors and another defense attorney). The Basswood case lists 12 attorneys (multiple prosecutors and defenders), with Carpenter appearing in three different capacities (active defense, inactive defense, and even as an inactive prosecutor). Even the Kraskey case shows 9+ attorneys involved. Such numbers far exceed normal case staffing and "far exceed natural statistical possibility", indicating names were being "systematically recycled across the fake cases".

B | Carpenter's Roles

Raissa Carpenter herself appears in four of the five cases as defense counsel. She was the lead defense attorney of record in at least two cases (Higgins and Balsimo), and listed as a secondary or inactive defense attorney in others (e.g. inactive in the Kraskey case). The most striking anomaly is in the Basswood case, where Carpenter is simultaneously listed on both sides of the case – as an "inactive" attorney for the prosecution and as an "active" (and also duplicated inactive) defense attorney. This impossible dual role is a glaring data glitch unique to fabricated dockets.

C | Recycled Names

The same attorney names recur across these synthetic cases. Prosecutor Thomas Stuart Arneson (the real prosecutor in Guertin's case) appears as an extra prosecutor in *four* of the five cases' records. Multiple cases also list Judith Cole as a prosecutor, and public defender Susan Herlofsky appears in at least two as co-counsel. Likewise, private attorneys like Warsame Ali and Robert Sorensen show up repeatedly. This confirms that a small pool of attorneys' identities were "recycled across the fake cases" to populate the dockets. Such repetition – for example, Arneson even being misfiled as a defense attorney in one instance – is virtually impossible in legitimate records and betrays the artificial construction of these cases.

IV. DEFENDANT CLUSTER LINKS

Two of the cases involving Carpenter are part of larger "clustered" defendant scenarios, where one defendant's name was used in multiple fake cases:

A | Lucas Patrick Kraskey Cluster

Case 27-CR-23-5751 (Kraskey) is one of 12 synthetic cases revolving around the same defendant name. Indeed, "the 'Lucas Patrick Kraskey' cluster of synthetic cases" is specifically noted for "blatant procedural cloning" and shared fake filings. Carpenter appears as a listed defense attorney in the 2023 Kraskey case, tying her to this large cluster. The existence of a dozen cases for one individual (far more than a typical repeat offender) indicates a manufactured backlog intended to simulate a pattern of incompetency or criminal behavior.

B | Rex A. Basswood, Jr. Cluster

Carpenter's involvement in 27-CR-22-24627 places her in the Basswood cluster as well. Basswood's identity was used in at least 3 related cases (spanning 2020, 2021, 2022) in the synthetic dataset. In the 2022 Basswood case – the "most egregious example" – Carpenter's dual-role glitch occurred. This cluster's cases were all assigned to Judge Caligiuri or related judges and show coordinated anomalies (e.g. the same public defender appearing across years).

C | Isolated Cases

The remaining cases (Higgins, Schech, Balsimo) were not flagged as multi-case clusters in the dataset. They appear to be individual fake case narratives. However, they still exhibit template-like similarities (same pool of attorneys, identical orders) with the clusters. For example, the Higgins case (though standalone) shares procedural elements with the Kraskey cluster cases, such as identical competency evaluation orders.

V. NOTABLE ANOMALIES AND RED FLAGS

The data reveals several clear signs of fraud and artificial replication in these cases tied to Raissa Carpenter:

A | Duplicate Filings Across Cases

Multiple cases contain identical court filings. For example, a "Findings of Incompetency and Order" regarding mental competency — including directives to the Hennepin County Prepetition Screening Program — appears word-for-word in at least three different case dockets (Higgins, Schech, and Balsimo). Each of those cases has a nearly identical Rule 20 competency order (same paragraphs ordering a Prepetition Screening and listing Carpenter among recipients). This copy-paste reuse of entire legal documents in unrelated cases is a strong indication of a scripted simulation.

B | Carpenter in Contradictory Roles

The Basswood case demonstrates a unique error where Raissa Carpenter is listed as both defense and prosecution on the same case. This "contradictory, mutually exclusive" role assignment could not happen in a legitimate case management system and exposes the lack of

real oversight in the fake entries. It confirms that Carpenter's identity was injected into the system programmatically, without regard for consistency.

C | Implausible Attorney Volume

Each case's attorney list is unnaturally packed with names. The presence of 5–10 attorneys per side (far beyond normal staffing) and the repetition of the same names across many cases (e.g. Arneson, Cole, Carpenter, Herlofsky appear in case after case) are a statistical impossibility in genuine court operations. This indicates an intentional effort to "embed" a cast of characters in the synthetic cases for the sake of realism, inadvertently overusing them.

D | Coordinated Procedural Outcomes

All these cases exhibit outcomes that support a narrative of defendant incompetency or stalled proceedings – e.g. repeated competency evaluations, review hearings, and "dormant" status with no resolution. Carpenter's presence is central to this narrative: as a public defender, she is the common thread ensuring these defendants are often found incompetent or their cases languish. Indeed, the fake dockets produced "manufactured competency findings" that mirror issues in Matthew Guertin's real case. Carpenter's involvement in those bogus findings (alongside recurring evaluator Dr. Adam Milz in the Basswood case) suggests her persona was used to legitimize the suppression of defendants through phony mental health processes.

VI. CONCLUSION

In summary, Raissa Carpenter's profile in the synthetic case matrix is that of a ubiquitous defense attorney inserted across numerous fake cases. She is "embedded directly into the script" of the operation – appearing in at least 14 fabricated cases in total – which includes multiple case clusters and individual sham cases. Her name is used as the assigned public defender for various defendants, creating a false impression of legitimate counsel representation. The patterns of her appearances (frequent, in multiple roles, across improbable clusters) and the errors/glitches associated with her (dual role in one case, identical orders naming her in others) serve as direct evidence of fraud in the case records.

Carpenter's extensive, scripted involvement was not an accident; it was a deliberate tactic to sabotage the real target's defense by surrounding him with a fabricated legal history and even compromising his actual representation. All these findings coalesce into a clear persona profile:

Raissa Carpenter was a strategically placed actor in the synthetic judiciary scheme, repeatedly deployed to lend credence to fake cases while ultimately undermining the very notion of genuine defense counsel.

A | Sources

 $\underline{https://link.storjshare.io/s/jwu6smq4kzcddahb3ixxy2ajcymq/evidence/People-Directly-Involved-In-Guertins-Case/}$

https://link.storjshare.io/raw/jxv6sr7c4zzseks7r6ue4htgvn3q/evidence/People-Directly-Involved-in-Guertins-Case.zip

https://link.storjshare.io/raw/juzg5qrpn4r74rwgrdtyrieumiiq/evidence/People-Directly-Involved-In-Guertins-Case/Raissa-Carpenter.txt

https://link.storjshare.io/s/ju3mf5uvdrmcbhch5ga3koduwp4q/evidence