Re: TRACK ONE Non-Provisional Patent Application: Motorized rotatable treadmill and system for creating the illusion of movement (Our File: G185.0001US1)

From	mattguertin <MattGuertin@protonmail.com>
To	Amanda Prose<aprose@wck.com>
CC	Megan Neumann<mneumann@wck.com>
Date	Monday, December 12th, 2022 at 3:29 PM

Amanda,

The whole PhotoRobot thing is 100% going to be an issue. I can pretty much guarantee that they personally contacted the examiner based upon everything I've learned so far as I've been digging into this.

My question is though what happens if I can prove they're lying and that they've altered a TON of stuff on their website? Does that end up being automatically favorable to me as in it proves without a doubt that it wasn't 'obvious' to them at all and also that they are liars?

I have every single webpage that matters saved as a .doc file by copying and pasting for both current versions as well as the same ones prior to November before I know they got contacted by the same person that told me about it.

I'm loading all of the documents into a program called MAXQDS where I'm able to analyze the most commonly used words, and create filters that use specific search terms to compare documents and sets of documents against one another which shows up as a heatmap matrix...and the results are comical. The attached example is only their current blog articles. Once I start comparing sets/groups of documents I'll be able to clearly layout what words they've added and all sorts of stuff.

In addition I ended up finding a stash of videos they wish I hadn't..
[This is their 'robotic camera arm'](#)
[This is how you get it setup](#) (the guy talks about how it's a POS near the end of the video...)
[This is their Siemens Micromaster 4 Series controller](#)
[This is what they are running through their slip ring for power and data to the actual belt](#)
Their setup is actually just a [treadmill](#) mounted atop of one of their [Roto Power Turn](#) platforms
[This is how](#) one supports the sides if they so choose
These are their [junk server units](#)

The matrix attached pretty much proves it and that is just the initial, current blog pages I loaded into it. Up top are the individual blog pages...the ones on the left that are lit up like a xmas tree are their most recent ones that they are pointing everyone to through their youtube links and many other links that they placed all over their website recently to link back to the same pages by using keywords. It is all very well thought out and took a ton of time like I said.

Their unit is not precise whatsoever because they are running the treadmill with two wires - most likely just a 0-10vdc signal or some PWN signal but they for sure aren't getting any feedback or accuracy as far as the distance traveled by the belt.

Either way it's apparent that they have put an extraordinary amount of effort into re-working their website so I doubt it is just to posture and try to steal my idea. It is completely setup for them to contact the examiner and have him think they were already on the same path which couldn't be further from the truth.

I'm wondering how we go about notifying the examiner about this and also when we do am I allowed to submit personal, verifiable background information about myself as far as all of the projects I have worked on and the technology I have been into for a very long time? I believe that post KSR 'common sense' can be part of the examiners decision making process so I am wondering if them being caught completely lying (I have way more evidence than I can even type..) and me at least providing some examples of being into the same exact technology that is my invention helps at all?

The other thing is that the examiner is Vietnamese...so if I am allowed to steer him towards my site for past stuff and he see's the Blue Moon Club that may be at least somewhat favorable...more so than it would be negative anyways.

I'll let you know when I get the rest of the data processed.

Thanks,

~Matt

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

359.24 KB   1 file attached

Matrix.png 359.24 KB