

Re: TRACK ONE Non-Provisional Patent Application: Motorized rotatable treadmill and system for creating the illusion of movement (Our File: G185.0001US1)

From mattguertin <MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To Amanda Prose<aprose@wck.com>

CC Megan Neumann<mneumann@wck.com>

Date Tuesday, November 29th, 2022 at 1:10 PM

Amanda,

Thanks for this

One other thing I've been wondering then -

What exactly is the purpose of waiting to pay the fee if you are confident that the prior art that was sent in is not going to affect my system or method claims at all?

I'm just trying to figure out the reasoning behind this so I understand the overall process as a whole a little better.

For example - let's hypothetically say that the prior art claim of PhotoRobot was in fact an issue for my system claims for some odd reason - what would happen then?

Would the application be 'reset' essentially back to the state it was in when we received the initial office action reply and we would then need to either adjust or craft new claims and move forward from that point as if it never received a notice of allowance? Meaning we wouldn't be in a situation where we are now potentially facing a Final Office Action due to the overall number of steps/back and forth between us and the examiner?

What would the danger or risks be in just paying the fee right now since it has been allowed?

Would we still be approaching it in this same way if the Netflix app wasn't a thing?

If you could help me to better understand this it would be much appreciated.

Thanks,

~Matt

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.