A24-0780 STATE OF MINNESOTA IN COURT OF APPEALS

State of Minnesota, District Court Case: 27-CR-23-1886

Court Order Date: April 12, 2024

Respondent,

VS.

PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR

Matthew David Guertin, JUDICIAL NOTICE: A

Petitioner.

TO: THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

INTRODUCTION

Petitioner, Matthew David Guertin, respectfully moves this Court pursuant to Minn. R. Civ. App. P. 127 to take judicial notice of the attached Exhibit A. This motion is based on the grounds that Exhibit A, a compilation of records from the lower court, presents a clear, chronological narrative of the relevant proceedings and events, which is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the case history.

FACTUAL BASIS FOR MOTION

1. Exhibit A Content:

Exhibit A contains a meticulously organized collection of records from the lower court, arranged in chronological order. These records include motions, orders,

1

hearing transcripts, and other relevant documents filed in the case of State of Minnesota v. Matthew David Guertin, District Court Case No. 27-CR-23-1886.

2. Relevance and Necessity:

The chronological arrangement of these documents in Exhibit A provides a coherent and comprehensive narrative of the case's progression, which is critical for the appellate court's review. Each document is part of the official court record and is presented without alteration or inference, strictly adhering to factual representations.

3. **Judicial Notice Standard:**

Under Minn. R. Civ. App. P. 127, the appellate court may take judicial notice of facts that are not subject to reasonable dispute and are capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned. The documents in Exhibit A are part of the court record and meet these criteria.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

Taking judicial notice of Exhibit A is appropriate because it consists of official documents and communications that accurately reflect the petitioner's mental state and competency. These documents provide a factual basis that directly contradicts the conclusions of incompetency in the initial forensic evaluation report, thereby aiding the Court in making a well-informed decision regarding the petitioner's status.

BACKGROUND

In the case of State of Minnesota v. Matthew David Guertin, the petitioner was charged with multiple felonies, including reckless discharge of a firearm and possession of firearms without serial numbers. The charges stemmed from an incident on January 21, 2023, where Mr. Guertin was alleged to have fired multiple shots from his apartment, leading to his arrest. Throughout the case proceedings, Mr. Guertin has consistently maintained his competency and provided substantial evidence to challenge the findings of incompetency.

The records in Exhibit A include, but are not limited to:

- Criminal complaints and orders of detention detailing the charges against
 Mr. Guertin.
- A forensic evaluation report conducted by Dr. Jill Rogstad on March 10,
 2023, which has been contested by Mr. Guertin through various pieces of compelling evidence.
- Documentation of Mr. Guertin's patent activities, email correspondences with legal and professional contacts, and other relevant records that demonstrate his understanding of legal proceedings and active participation in his defense.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court take judicial notice of Exhibit A, as it presents an essential, factual basis for understanding the case history in a clear and chronological order.

Dated: May 28, 2024 By: /s/ Matthew D Guertin

Matthew David Guertin Petitioner Pro Se 1075 Traditions Ct. Chaska, MN 55318

Tel: (763) 221-4540

Email: MattGuertin@ProtonMail.com



----- 2014 -----

4/2/2014:

Petitioner recognized in a blog article by Derivative, showcasing his work in
interactive media

(Index #28, p. 22)

----- 2016 -----

6/28/2016:

Digital Domain's legal setback involving MOVA motion capture technology (Index #28, p. 23)

6/28/2016:

Specific quotes from an article documenting Digital Domain's legal challenges (Index #28, p. 24)

----- 2017 -----

7/17/2017:

Documentation of a court case involving intellectual property theft (Index #28, p. 25)

----- 2018 **-----**

9/30/2018:

Petitioner programs media server for LA Philharmonic Orchestra's 100th Anniversary show at the Hollywood Bowl

(Index #28, p. 26)

9/30/2018:

Hollywood Bowl show presented on Petitioner's portfolio website (Index #28, p. 27)

----- 2019 -----

4/2019:

Petitioner recognized for contributions to Coachella Music Festival's Bad Bunny set

(Index #28, p. 28)

4/2019:

Set piece for Bad Bunny at Coachella showcased on Petitioner's website (Index #28, p. 29)

4/2019:

Petitioner is credited in PLSN publication for 2019 Bad Bunny, Coachella set piece

(Index #28, p. 30)

4/2019:

Custom Pre-Vis system for Bad Bunny performances presented on Petitioner's website

(Index #28, p. 31)

8/2019-11/2019:

Petitioner credited as engineer for a 50-foot Falcon (Index #28, p. 32)

8/2019-11/2019:

Presentation of 50-foot Falcon on Petitioner's website (Index #28, p. 33)

O	77	n	10	1	1	17	n	1	n	
O	12	U.	ТЯ)-1	. 1	/Z	v	1	IJ	



(Index #28, p. 34)

11/2020:

Petitioner sets up custom Vimeo page to host videos for his portfolio website (Index #28, p. 35)

11/2020:

Petitioner's personal portfolio website MattGuertin.com (Index #28, p. 36)

2/13/2021:

Petitioner secures 'InfiniSet.com' domain name (Index #28, p. 37)

2/13/2021:

'InfiniSet.com' website displays InfiniSet logo (Index #28, p. 38)

3/19/2021:

Petitioner files his provisional patent application (Index #28, p. 39)

3/31/2021:

Stephan Trojansky files his provisional patent application (Index #28, p. 40)

4/1/2021:

Petitioner files US trademark application 90618638 for 'INFINISET' (Index #28, p. 41)

6/30/2021:

Eyeline Studios registered as foreign corporation in California (Index #28, p. 42)

6/30/2021:

Scott Miller signs CA SOS filing for Eyeline Studios (Index #28, p. 43)

11/22/2021:

Netflix Press Release announces acquisition of Scanline VFX and Eyeline Studios (Index #28, p. 44)

11/28/2021:

LinkedIn search for Petitioner by United States Air Force Academy (Index #30, pp. 145-152)

----- 2022 ------

1/16/2022:

LinkedIn search for Petitioner by United States Air Force (Index #30, pp. 153-159)

1/20/2022:

Google names their Bard Ai dataset 'Infiniset' which Petitioner has a trademark application filed for

(Index #28, p. 45)

1/21/2022:

Continued use of 'InfiniSet' by Google for 'Bard Ai' dataset (Index #28, p. 46)

2/10/2022:

Continued use of 'InfiniSet' by Google for 'Bard Ai' dataset (Index #28, pp. 47-48)

3/30/2022:

Trojansky/Netflix files official US Patent application 17/709,126 (Index #28, p. 49)

3/31/2022:

Trojansky/Netflix files PCT application US2022/022914 (Index #28, p. 50)

4/19/2022:

Netflix's Q1 2022 Shareholders letter reveals \$125 million spent acquiring Scanline VFX, Eyeline Studios, and small gaming company (Index #28, p. 51)

6/8/2022:

Yuval Brodsky files US Patent application 17/843,960 (Index #28, pp. 52-56)

6/24/2022:

PCT examiners' report for Petitioner's application (Index #28, pp. 57-67)

7/12/2022:

Yuval Brodsky's US Patent 11,383,062 is published (Index #28, p. 68)

7/17/2022:

LinkedIn search for Petitioner by Department of the Air Force (Index #30, pp. 160-166)

7/18/2022:

Petitioner registers InfiniSet Inc. with Delaware Secretary of State (Index #28, pp. 69-70)

9/22/2022:

Petitioner's PCT Patent Application US2022/020919 is published (Index #28, p. 71)

9/22/2022:

Yuval Brodsky's US Patent application published (Index #28, pp. 72-73)

9/22/2022:

Petitioner's US Patent application 17/698,420 is published (Index #28, p. 89)

10/31/2022:

Email exchange with Assaff Rawner, CEO of Mark Roberts Motion Control (Index #30, p. 96)

11/6/2022:

Petitioner discovers Trojansky/Netflix patent application 17/709,126 (Index #30, p. 3)

11/8/2022:

Petitioner's patent attorney confirms that patent application 17/709,126 is for the exact same technology contained in Petitioner's US 11,577,177 (Index #38, pp. 66-67)

11/11/2022:

Email to Bruce Rivers regarding Petitioner's Netflix patent discovery (Index #38, pp. 33-75)

11/11/2022:

Follow-up email to Bruce Rivers about patent filing dates (Index #38, p. 74)

11/11/2022:

Follow-up email to Bruce Rivers sharing portfolio website (Index #38, p. 75)

11/13/2022:

Petitioner registers Delaware C-Corp with Minnesota Secretary of State (Index #28, p. 74)

12/5/2022:

Text messages with Petitioner's former CIA welder about patent situation (Index #30, pp. 3-7, 62-63)

12/9/2022:

Petitioner submits Information Disclosure Statement to USPTO (Index #30, pp. 7-8, 97-98)

12/15/2022:

Netflix patent assignment takes place (Index #28, pp. 75-77)

12/17/2022:

LinkedIn search for Petitioner by USC School of Cinematic Arts and Army Reserves

(Index #30, pp. 167-174)

12/23/2022:

Text messages with former CIA welder (Index #30, pp. 9-10, 63-64)

12/24/2022:

Petitioner discovers discrepancy in Internet Archive save counts indicating their involvement in alleged patent fraud he is investigating

(Index #30, pp. 11-12)

----- 2023 -----

1/5-6/2023:

Emails with patent attorney discussing alleged PhotoRobot patent fraud (Index #30, pp. 12-13, 102-107)

1/12/2023:

Petitioner files police report #23-000151 with Minnetonka Police about patent fraud (Index #28, pp. 78-80)

1/13/2023:

Email to Bruce Rivers asking for help regarding patent fraud discovery (Index #38, pp. 76-93)

1/14/2023:

Text messages with former CIA welder about patent fraud (Index #30, pp. 13-14, 64-66)

1/15/2023:

Petitioner contacts digital forensic services and Secret Service about patent fraud (Index #30, p. 129)

1/18-19/2023:

Petitioner returns to Minnetonka Police Department due to safety concerns (Index #30, pp. 17-18)

1/21/2023:

Petitioner arrested after firing a gun into the air to summon police (Index #28, pp. 81-87)

1/21/2023:

LinkedIn profile search for Petitioner by Forcepoint and 3Gimbals (Index #30, pp. 175-182)

1/30/2023:

An email form Petitioner sent to Detective Samantha Johnson of the Minnetonka Police Department 5 days after being released on bail in which he discusses details of the incident as well as his previous case concerning the alleged patent fraud. (Index #38, pp. 94-98)

2/5/2023:

LinkedIn profile search for Petitioner by USC School of Cinematic Arts (Index #30, pp. 183-190)

2/7/2023:

Email to Bruce Rivers detailing his welder's military and CIA background with pictures of special ops gear

(Index #38, pp. 99-105)

2/7/2023:

Bruce Rivers responds to email confirming receipt of special ops gear pictures (Index #38, pp. 103-105)

Special Ops Gear sitting atop Petitioner's Patent Prototype:

Visual comparison showcasing the Petitioner's invention alongside its patent drawing.

(Index #30, pp. 60-61)

2/13/2023:

Petitioner sends his first ever email to Dr. Jill Rogstad introducing himself, sharing his portfolio website MattGuertin.com, and provides in depth details about his current patent troubles

(Index #28, pp. 105-108)

2/13/2023:

Petitioner files continuation patent application US 18/108,858 (Index #28, p. 88)

2/14/2023:

Dr. Jill Rogstad responds to email about sharing information during meeting (Index #28, pp. 109-110)

2/14/2023:

Petitioner's patent US 11,577,177 is published (Index #28, p. 89)

2/15/2023:

Email to Dr. Jill Rogstad about audio and video documentation (Index #28, pp. 112-113)

2/17/2023:

Petitioner files third-party prior art submission against Netflix patent application 17/709,126 (Index #28, pp. 90-95)

2/17/2023:

Dr. Jill Rogstad responds to Guertin's email asking about recording procedures (Index #28, p. 114)

Late 2/2023:

Handwritten criminal defense notes for meeting with Bruce Rivers (Index #30, p. 115)

3/1/2023:

Trojansky 'EyeLine Studios' patent rights assigned to 'Netflix Inc.' (Index #28, pp. 96-97)

3/1/2023:

Petitioner's in-person Rule 20.01 Exam with Dr. Jill Rogstad takes place (Index #28, pp. 116-125)

3/2/2023:

Email to Dr. Jill Rogstad with a language analysis matrix produced by Petitioner (Index #28, pp. 115, Index #30, p. 64 Text 14)

3/3/2023:

Email to Dr. Jill Rogstad with additional evidence (Index #28, pp. 126, Index #30, pp. 102-107)

3/3/2023:

Email to Dr. Jill Rogstad with screen capture of PhotoRobot Internet Archive page (Index #28, pp. 127)

3/3/2023:

Email to Dr. Jill Rogstad with second screen capture of PhotoRobot Internet Archive page
(Index #28, pp. 128)

3/7/2023:

Dr. Jill Rogstad confirms receipt of 4 emails containing Petitioner's evidence (Index #28, pp. 129)

3/7/2023:

USPTO Patent Examiner reviews and signs off on Petitioner's third-party prior art submission against Netflix (Index #28, pp. 98-99)

3/10/2023:

Petitioner's Rule 20.01 Exam Report is submitted to the court (Index #28, pp. 116-125)

3/10/2023:

Metadata analysis of Dr. Jill Rogstad's Rule 20.01 Exam Report reveals 'GuzmanC' as the author (Index #28, pp. 100-101)

Hennepin County Criminal Justice Coordinating Committee:

Hennepin County Criminal Justice Coordinating Committee's composition and potential conflicts of interest surrounding Chela Guzman-Weigart (Index #28, p. 262)

Assistant County Administrator:

Further highlighting of Chela Guzman-Weigart's role within the court (Index #28, p. 263)

3/16/2023:

Petitioner files an IDS for his continuation patent application US 18/108,858 which names Microsoft and Dimension Studios (Index #28, pp. 130-132)

3/24/2023:

Email correspondence with Bruce Rivers about competency report (Index #28, pp. 102-104)

3/26/2023:

Petitioner obtains 'Notice of Good Standing' for InfiniSet Inc. (Index #28, p. 133)

3/27/2023:

Petitioner maintains evidence of communication with Netflix executives (Index #28, pp. 134-158)

3/27/2023:

Assignment of Trojansky 'EyeLine Studios' patent rights to 'Netflix Inc.' (Index #28, pp. 159-160)

4/7/2023:

Letter from Dr. Martin Schuster challenges Dr. Rogstad's findings (Index #30, pp. 113-114)

4/26/2023:

Email to Dr. Jill Rogstad with Bruce Rivers CC'd, sharing references discrediting her exam report (Index #38, pp. 113-120)

5/2/2023:

Email exchanges with Bruce Rivers discussing FTC fraud report and safety concerns

(Index #30, pp. 21, 71-72)

5/3/2023:

Petitioner files incident report with the FBI via IC3.gov (Index #28, pp. 161-165)

5/3/2023:

Petitioner files incident report with the FTC via ReportFraud (Index #28, pp. 166-167)

5/22/2023 at 3:13pm:

Petitioner calls Bruce Rivers and is told "you have some very powerful people keeping an eye on you"

(Index #30, pp. 22, 84 Calls 03, 132)

5/23/2023:

Petitioner expresses safety concerns to friends following Rivers' remarks about powerful people. Texts include technical discussions with a business partner, demonstrating Petitioner's competence

(Index #30, pp. 22-23, 86-93, 132-133)

5/27/2023:

Email from Petitioner to Rivers discussing the upcoming June 14, 2023, court hearing and ensuring it would happen in person

(Index #38, p. 121)

6/1/2023:

Petitioner files international trademark application 97699805 for 'INFINISET' (Index #28, pp. 168-170)

6/1/2023:

Petitioner files international trademark application '1 739 675' with WIPO for the 'INFINISET' logo

(Index #28, pp. 171-172)

6/14/2023:

Court order by Judge Julia Dayton Klein granting a continuance, referencing a non-existent motion

(Index #16)

6/16/2023:

Emails to Bruce Rivers expressing concerns about a conflict of interest surrounding his YouTube stardom and his previous powerful people comment. Petitioner also questions the handling of his FBI report and AI-generated video analysis

(Index #30, pp. 23-24, 73-76)

7/7/2023:

Court hearing where the Petitioner attempts to present evidence of competency. Rivers advises against presenting additional evidence. Petitioner's patent US 11,577,177 was the only evidence entered into the record as an exhibit even though Bruce possessed significant exculpatory evidence

(Index #30, pp. 24, 60, Index #38, pp. 99-105)

7/13/2023:

Findings of Fact and Order declaring Petitioner incompetent to proceed relies heavily on Dr. Jill Rogstad's evaluation and testimony (Index #28, pp. 175-181)

7/13/2023:

Metadata analysis of George Borer's competency order, revealing creation by Danielle C Mercurio (Index #28, pp. 173-174)

7/20/2023:

Petition for Civil Commitment filed against Petitioner (Index #30, pp. 24-25, 81-82 Text 17-22)

7/20/2023:

State of Rhode Island searches for Petitioner on LinkedIn, and a record one week search count is documented

(Index #30, pp. 191-197)

7/28/2023:

Petitioner discovers civil commitment order and reaches out to Bruce Rivers who assures him he will represent him in civil commitment proceedings (Index #30, pp. 24-25, 81-82 Text 17-22)

8/1/2023 at 6:27am:

Desperate attempts to contact Bruce Rivers seeking advice for the civil commitment hearing.

(Index #30, pp. 25, 77-78, 82-83 Text 23-26)

8/1/2023 at 10:14am:

Email sent to Michael Biglow ahead of scheduled court appearance contains 20 total links and PDF documents that discredit Rogstad's Rule 20.01 report and establish credibility of Petitioner

(Index #30, pp. 108-109)

8/1/2023 at 10:14am:

Petitioner's 2019 Wages and Income statement provided to Biglow as part of email attachments, showing \$218,385.00

(Index #30, p. 112)

8/1/2023 at 10:25am:

Follow-up email to Biglow emphasizing the provision of PDF evidence to Dr. Rogstad and her choice to ignore all of it

(Index #30, p. 110)

8/1/2023 at 2:24pm:

Biglow only forwards letter from Petitioner's California doctor to the court just six minutes before the proceeding

(Index #30, p. 111)

8/1/2023 at 2:30pm:

Zoom interview with Psychologist Michael Robertson and subsequent Zoom civil commitment court hearing

(Index #28, p. 182-186, Index #30, p. 78)

8/3/2023:

Documented LinkedIn searches for Petitioner by DARPA, Defense Intelligence Agency, and US INDOPACOM (Index #30, pp. 198-205)

8/4/2023:

Examiner's Report for Commitment by Michael Robertson, PsyD, LP, summarizing findings from 8/1/2023, psychiatric examination (Index #28, pp. 182-186)

8/8/2023:

Petitioner discovers a SIGGRAPH 2023 video featuring technology mirroring his patent, being presented on behalf of Netflix and Eyeline Studios by Paul Debevec (Index #30, p. 27)

8/9/2023:

"Acceptance of Terms of Stay of Commitment" agreement is signed by Petitioner (Index #27, p. 8)

8/9/2023:

Email titled 'Emergency' is sent to former patent attorney concerning Netflix patent fraud

(Index #30, p. 27)

8/10/2023:

Email is sent to entire patent attorney's firm raising issues about Netflix patent infringement

(Index #30, pp. 27-29)

8/11/2023:

LinkedIn searches for Petitioner by the US Air Force and US Department of State (Index #30, pp. 206-213)

8/16/2023:

Correspondence received from former IP firm after being dropped as a client, contradicts the reason for termination filed with the USPTO (Index #30, pp. 116-118)

8/21/2023:

Patent attorney files a 'Request For Withdrawal As Attorney Or Agent' with the USPTO, listed as non-detrimental to the client's interests (Index #28, pp. 187-188)

9/7/2023:

A second police report for possible patent fraud with the Plymouth, MN Police Department is filed (Index #28, pp. 189-190)

9/20/2023:

Email to Senator Amy Klobuchar detailing challenges due to patent theft and fraudulent activities by Netflix and others (Index #28, pp. 191-197)

10/2023:

Petitioner's realizes his patent has 'vast' implications in military training simulations

(Index #30, pp. 34, 67-70)

LinkedIn Search and Count Graph:

Petitioner begins to take notice of LinkedIn searches. Creates a visual presentation graph highlighting significant external interest in the Petitioner's activities by military and defense contractors, along with governmental entities

(Index #30, pp. 29-33, 53-59)

Military Connections:

Petitioner urges the court to consider Referee Danielle C. Mercurio's ties to the US Army in the context of the case.

(Index #28, p. 223)

10/3/2023:

Petitioner receives Privacy Act Release Form from Senator Amy Klobuchar's office

(Index #28, p. 198)

10/6/2023:

Signed Privacy Act Release Form is returned to Senator Klobuchar's office, enabling assistance with patent fraud concerns

(Index #28, pp. 199-203)

10/102023 at 1:02pm:

Confirmation received from Senator Klobuchar's office requesting supporting documents for the inquiry

(Index #28, p. 204)

10/10/2023 at 3:36pm:

Additional evidence and Substack article links are sent to Senator Klobuchar's office to support claims of fraudulent activities

(Index #28, pp. 205-208)

10/11/2023 at 11:18am:

Petitioner informs Senator Klobuchar's office about the similarities Netflix patent application to his granted patent

(Index #28, p. 209)

10/11/2023 at 11:39am:

A comprehensive collection of documents related to USPTO is emailed to Senator Klobuchar's office

(Index #28, p. 210)

10/11/2023 at 12:07pm:

Sent additional evidence regarding AI-generated content on YouTube and its inconsistencies to Senator Klobuchar's office

(Index #28, pp. 211-212)

10/12/2023:

Certified mail sent to Senator Klobuchar's office includes a Substack articles highlighting a search for Petitioner's LinkedIn page by US State Department (Index #28, pp. 216-222)

10/16/2023 at 3:43pm:

Followed up with Senator Klobuchar's office for updates on the case, expressing concerns about US Army involvement

(Index #28, pp. 213-214)

10/23/2023 at 12:51pm:

Received response from Senator Klobuchar's office seeking further clarification on how they can assist with the case

(Index #28, p. 215)

11/7/2023:

Netflix patent US 11,810,254 is published - Petitioner's name and patent are listed at the VERY top of it (Index #28, p. 224)

InfiniSet vs. Netflix Patent Analysis:

Detailed comparative analysis of US11,577,177 and US11,810,254 which suggests Netflix patent should not have been granted based on a lack of novelty (Index #30, pp. 94-95)

11/8/2023:

Article by Thomas L. Hamlin discusses generative AI and legal implications, supporting the Petitioner's concerns about AI's role in IP theft (Index #28, p. 264)

11/15/2023:

Order evaluation for Competency to Proceed (Index #21)

11/15/2023:

Notice of Inquiry by the FCC, document FCC 23-101, discusses AI concerns like voice cloning, supporting the Petitioner's claims (Index #28, pp. 265-270)

12/5/2023:

Petitioner receives a Non-Final Office Action from the USPTO for his continuation patent application US 18/108,858 (Index #28, pp. 225-231)

----- 2024 -----

1/5/2024:

Petitioner files his first ever court motion, a "Demand or Request for Discovery" (Index #22)

1/10/2024 at 4:59pm:

Petitioner emails his 'LinkedIn Search Graph' to Bruce Rivers (Index #38, pp. 22-27, 130-135)

1/10/2024 at 5:03pm:

Follow-up email to Bruce Rivers about incompetency and a realization about the theft of his intellectual property

(Index #38, pp. 27-28)

1/10/2024 at 5:12pm:

Email to Bruce Rivers sharing a brief investor pitch and discussing the LinkedIn Search Graph

(Index #38, pp. 134-135)

1/12/2024 at 2:16pm:

Email to Bruce Rivers highlighting the extreme stress and dangers the Petitioner is facing, mentioning patent theft and involvement of the US government (Index #38, pp. 136-137)

1/12/2024 at 3:04pm:

Reply from Bruce Rivers – "Call me" - Petitioner called Bruce using his mom's cell phone

(Index #38, pp. 138-139)

1/12/2024 at 3:37pm:

Email to Bruce Rivers requesting discovery materials once again, once again no response

(Index #38, p. 140)

1/14/2024 at 12:00pm:

Email to Bruce Rivers about Jan 16, 2024 - 1:30 pm court date and Zoom availability

(Index #38, p. 141)

1/15/2024 at 3:02pm:

Second email to Bruce Rivers about Jan 16, 2024 1:30 pm court date (Index #38, p. 142)

1/15/2024:

Bruce Rivers tells Petitioner there is "No court" on Jan 16 via text message (Index #30, pp. 35, 83 Text 27, 135)

1/16/2024:

A court order is signed at 8:27am which states "Prior to the hearing, the parties agreed to a finding of incompetency entered administratively."

(Index #30, p. 36)

1/16/2024:

Notice of Remote Zoom Hearing is filed for July 16, 2024 court appearance (Index #28, pp. 233-234)

1/17/2024:

Court order signed at 8:27am on Jan 16 is submitted to the court at 7:29am on Jan 17

(Index #25, p. 3, Id. 9)

1/17/2024:

Analysis of January 16-17 court orders concerning language (Index #30, pp. 119-120)

1/26/2024 at 4:38pm:

Email to Bruce Rivers requesting Rule 20.01 Exam Report from January 3, 2024 (Index #38, p. 143)

1/26/2024:

Follow-up attempts to receive Rule 20.01 Exam Report from Bruce Rivers (Index #30, pp. 37-38)

1/28/2024:

Continued attempts to contact Bruce Rivers for exam report (Index #30, pp. 38, 83 Text 29, 85 Calls-05, 135)

1/29/2024:

Text message exchange with Bruce Rivers, with Rivers still not providing the requested report.

(Index #30, pp. 38, 83 Text 29, 135)

1/30/2024:

Petitioner files a 'Motion for Continuance' in his civil case, addressing the need for additional time due to insufficient preparation and lack of essential medical records.

(Index #28, pp. 241-250)

1/30/2024:

Petitioner files a 'Motion for Production of Medical Records' in his civil case, seeking to compel provision of Dr. Adam Milz's exam report.

(Index #28, pp. 251-252)

1/31/2024:

Petitioner signs a 'Waiver' consenting to the extension of his 'Stayed Order of Commitment' for nine months to avoid appearing in person at court hearing and being committed to a 'safe and secure facility' as stated in the Jan 17 court order (Index #28, p. 253)

2/1/2024:

Petitioner requests the court to judicially notice the absence of index number '40' in his civil case timeline, suggesting procedural irregularities.

(Index #28, p. 235)

2/20/2024:

Petitioner verifies the standing of his company 'InfiniSet, Inc.' through the Minnesota Secretary of State.

(Index #28, p. 254)

3/4/2024:

Petitioner mails a 'PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a)' to the USPTO, requesting a two-month extension.

(Index #28, pp. 255-261)

3/13/2024:

Petitioner discovers his criminal history has been repopulated in MCRO court records system. Now shows records dating back to 2002

(Index #30, pp. 39-40, 121-126)

4/3/2024:

Petitioner submits his pro se 'Petition to Proceed as ProSe Counsel' into his case. (Index #27)

4/3/2024:

Petitioner submits his pro se 'Motion for Judicial Notice' into his case. (Index #28)

4/3/2024:

Petitioner emails Bruce Rivers advising him that he would like to dismiss him as his defense counsel and represent himself.

(Index #38, pp. 144-147)

4/4/2024:

Petitioner submits a 'Motion to Compel Discovery and Affidavit of Fact', addressing the State's failure to provide requested discovery materials and the submission of fraudulent discovery materials.

(Index #29, pp. 1-7)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit Af:

Petitioner's Affidavit of Fact.

(Index #29, pp. 8-9)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit A:

Email from Michael Biglow dated August 3rd, 2023.

(Index #29, p. 10)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit B:

Email header data from the August 3rd, 2023 email by Michael Biglow.

(Index #29, pp. 11-12)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit C:

First page of the fraudulent discovery PDF.

(Index #29, p. 13)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit D:

Document properties and metadata from the disputed discovery PDF.

(Index #29, p. 14)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit E:

Excerpts from Dr. Jill Rogstad's examination report and Michael Robertson's report, referencing "104 photographs" from the incident.

(Index #29, pp. 15-16)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit F:

Overview of aspect ratios in photography from Wikipedia.

(Index #29, p. 17)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit G:

Detailed table analyzing aspect ratios, sizes, and evidence of manipulation across the photographs.

(Index #29, pp. 18-23)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit H:

Selected images showcasing discrepancies in cropping, editing, and presentation.

(Index #29, pp. 24-26)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit I:

Detailed comparative analysis of three images extracted directly from the PDF file. (Index #29, p. 27)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit J:

Comparison of duplicate images in the discovery materials altered to appear unique.

(Index #29, p. 28)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit K:

Analysis using the principle of barrel distortion to substantiate claims of image manipulation.

(Index #29, p. 29)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit L:

Technical basis for asserting fraudulent alteration of discovery photos and comparative analysis of personal photos taken by the Petitioner.

(Index #29, pp. 30-35)

4/4/2024:

Motion to Compel Discovery Exhibit M:

Email correspondence between the Petitioner and Dr. Adam Milz, detailing the fraudulent discovery materials and rebutting Dr. Jill Rogstad's report.

(Index #29, pp. 36-40)

4/9/2024:

Petitioner files a pro se Affidavit of Fact, providing extensive background information and insight into events related to his court case and patent fraud conspiracy.

(Index #30, pp. 1-2)

4/12/2024:

Judge Julia Dayton Klein submits order denying Petitioner's Petition to Proceed as ProSe Counsel.

(Index #33)

4/18/2024:

Petitioner texts Bruce Rivers advising him to withdraw as defense counsel, with Rivers replying, "Call me."

(Index #38, pp. 148)

5/3/2024:

Petitioner submits a follow-up correspondence on his 4 unacknowledged motions for discovery and medical records

(Index #36)

5/3/2024:

Petitioner submits a pro se Affidavit of Fact containing MCRO data analysis.

(Index #37)

5/6/2024:

Petitioner submits a pro se Affidavit of Fact into his case sharing a substantial amount of additional emails between himself and Bruce Rivers (Index #38)

5/6/2024:

Petitioner submits a pro se Affidavit of Fact into his case sharing a chronological photo timeline detailing his extensive business and patent related endeavors both before and after January 21, 2023 when he was arrested (Index #39)

5/9/2024:

Order evaluation for Competency to Proceed submitted into Petitioner's case (Index #40)

5/10/2024:

Petitioner files his Petition for Discretionary Review with the Minnesota Court of Appeals
(Index #58)

5/13/2024:

Petitioner's filing is accepted by the Minnesota Court of Appeals and assigned case number A24-0780 (Index #51)

5/25/2020 - 1/29/2024:

Text message history with Bruce Rivers detailing long standing relationship, business advice, and legal discussions.

(Index #30, pp. 79-83)

Call History with Bruce Rivers:

Documented call logs between Bruce Rivers and the Petitioner. (Index #30, pp. 84-85)

12/14/2022 - 2/13/2024:

Personal cell phone records aligning with key moments discussed in the affidavit. (Index #30, pp. 127-135)

Comprehensive Video Documentation Substantiates Fraud Allegations:

Professional 45 minute documentary and multi-source video capture of evidence gathering is uploaded to www.Rumble.com/user/MattGuertin (Index #30, pp. 41-43)