STATE OF MINNESOTA	**DISTRICT COURT**
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN	**FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

State of Minnesota,	Court File No. : 27-CR-23-1886
Plaintiff,	
	AFFIDAVIT
vs.	**THE ATTEMPTED**
	ASSASSINATION OF
	MATTHEW GUERTIN
Matthew David Guertin,	
Defendant.	Judicial Officer: Sarah Hudelston

TO: THE HONORABLE SARAH HUDLESTON, JUDGE OF DISTRICT COURT; MARY F. MORIARTY, HENNEPIN COUNTY ATTORNEY; AND MAWERDI HAMID, ASSISTANT HENNEPIN COUNTY ATTORNEY

SYNTHETIC JUDICIAL SYSTEM EXPOSED
AI-DRIVEN DOCKET SIMULATIONS AND PSYCHIATRIC DISPOSAL WITHIN THE 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

The Attempted Assassination of Matthew Guertin

I.   Exposing a Synthetic Court Ecosystem

This affidavit is a declaration of fact. A forensic audit of 163 case files – centered on Guertin's case 27-CR-23-1886 – reveals a completely fabricated "synthetic court" matrix. Dozens of filings and entire dockets were AI-generated or doctored, not genuine judicial actions. Repeated boilerplate text, impossible procedural loops, and cloned metadata appear across unrelated cases. For example, identical conditional release terms ("remain law-abiding," "take medications as prescribed," "no alcohol use") recur word-for-word in case after case. This uniformity - and even contradictory statements in the same file - could only come from mass templating, not real judges. In short, Guertin's

self-conducted research, and forensic analysis concludes these entries came from a single automated source (likely a large language model), not from genuine courtroom activity.

Further proof of fabrication appears in document artifacts. Scanned envelopes and letterhead show repeated USPS stamps and identical handwriting across cases – patterns of cloned imagery, not unique mailings. Such "cloning artifacts" confirm that many papers were digitally assembled. In sum, the evidence portrays an orchestrated scheme: AI-synthesized records inserted into the system to legitimize a covert operation against Guertin. The goal was to manufacture false grounds for declaring him incompetent or committing him, effectively discrediting him while cloaking the truth.

II.   Fabricated Filings With Many Red Flags

Across the 163-case dataset, the filing structure is startlingly uniform. Each case folder has identical file names (MCRO_…pdf) and parallel document sets (summons, motions, competency orders, etc.) – down to repeated duplication of rare orders. In normal practice, courts do not repeatedly order duplicate competency evaluations or findings without major intervening events. The presence of multiple identical evaluations and commitment orders in one case is anomalous, matching the report's finding of "impossible procedural sequences" and copy-paste templating.

A | Mass-Production of Synthetic Case Files

Stylometric analysis confirms non-human authorship. Boilerplate language (even punctuation) is mechanically reused. Conditional-release instructions and scheduling paragraphs are carbon-copied across dozens of different cases. One forensic summary notes "identical boilerplate sentences about court appearances" in every examined file.

A sample of ten cases showed the same semantic structures and quirks (like name misspellings) carried through multiple documents – clear signs of an LLM or templating engine at work. Even "template drift" is evident: the AI slips up by misspelling names inconsistently (e.g. "*Makis Devil Lane*" vs. "*Makis Duvell Lane*") or inserting

contradictory information. These patterns would never survive normal judicial review, but they abound here, verifying the records are forged.

B | Troubled Nunns and Misbehaving Priests

For example, one analysis report highlights a defendant named "*Priest Jesus Dorsey.*" This name combines a religious title "Priest" as a first name with a common surname, something exceedingly unlikely for a real individual. Investigators noted this as "an implausible combination…unlikely to correspond to a real individual, suggesting intentional fabrication."

Another synthetic identity was "*Angelic Denise Nunn*," which is peculiar because "Angelic" is a very rare first name; indeed, the same person later shows up as "*Angelic Denise Schaefer*" in another record, indicating an unexplained surname change. The use of the word "Angelic" (and changing last names) seems contrived, as if generated to be unique yet believably formatted. These are clear examples of AI-generated name drift – the system produces a human-sounding name that is unusual, and even introduces slight variants of it in different contexts.

C | Repeat, Repeat, Repeat, Offenders

Moreover, some synthetic defendants have an absurd number of case files and aliases attached to them, far beyond a normal criminal history. One egregious example is Lucas Patrick Kraskey – a name that surfaced as a common thread in a large number of "Finding of Incompetency" orders. Kraskey's name is tied to an "absurd (completely unrealistic in real life) number of cases" across 2020–2023, effectively making him a template from which boilerplate incompetency orders were generated. No real individual is simultaneously facing 10+ separate felony cases in different date ranges without that being a high-profile outlier. Yet the fake records show single defendants like Kraskey or Terrell Johnson carrying a huge load of cases with repetitive outcomes.

In the data, Terrell Johnson, for instance, is associated with around 10 case numbers from 2019–2023 all ending in similar competency or warrant events – an unlikely scenario unless fabricated. This tactic of assigning multiple case indices to one synthetic person

appears aimed at mass-producing court orders (the same text reused, just listing many charges and cases). It inflates the dataset with voluminous records that look on the surface like a prolific offender's history, but in truth it's one fake persona being recycled to churn out form orders.

III.   Filing Sequence and Docket Deconstruction

One of the clearest proofs of systematic fabrication is the nearly identical event sequences found across the synthetic case dockets. A forensic breakdown reveals a scripted procedural loop, repeated case after case, exposing the artificial architecture.

A | An Established Pattern

The standard pattern follows:

1. **Case Initiation:**

   A criminal case is filed (usually a felony or gross misdemeanor), establishing a new case number.

2. **Interim Release Conditions:**

   The court immediately issues boilerplate conditions of release ("remain law-abiding," "no alcohol use," etc.), often using identical phrasing across cases.

3. **Bench Warrant Cycle:**

   The defendant "fails to appear" at scheduled hearings, triggering a warrant. In many fake cases, multiple stacked FTAs and warrants are entered without proper resolutions - an unusual phenomenon without custody changes.

4. **Returned Mail Entries:**

   Interspersed with warrants are entries for "Returned Mail" - suggesting the court could not reach the defendant. Envelope scans often show similar handwriting and stamp artifacts.

   Critically, forensic review of the 2017 synthetic case pool revealed duplicate returned mail filings - such as in 27-CR-17-1555, 27-CR-17-8342, and 27-CR-17-22909 - proving the earliest "origin" cases were themselves fabricated.

5. **Rule 20 Evaluation Orders:**

   Following missed appearances, judges order mental competency evaluations (Rule 20 exams), often assigning the same recycled doctors, particularly Dr. Adam Milz.

6. **Incompetency Findings:**

   After brief mentions of psychological evaluations (rarely detailed), the court issues boilerplate orders finding the defendant incompetent, often listing multiple old case numbers and using repeated paragraph structures.

7. **Hearing Resets and Conditional Release:**

   Cases are suspended post-incompetency, with placeholder hearings set or conditions imposed ("take medications," "remain law-abiding"). Criminal proceedings stall indefinitely - exactly as seen in Guertin's real case.

8. **Loop/Repeat:**

   Many dockets cycle through additional warrants, hearings, and renewed Rule 20 orders, creating an endless paper trail of non-resolution - a "hollow history" never advancing to trial.

B | Case Flow Cloning

This synthetic progression was dubbed "Case Flow Cloning":

- Filing → Interim Conditions → Bench Warrant(s) → Returned Mail → Rule 20 → Incompetency Finding → Hearing Reset → Conditional Release → Repeat.

The mathematical odds of dozens of independent defendants following identical docket sequences are effectively zero.

1. **Temporal anomalies further expose the fraud:**

- Arrests, missed hearings, warrants, Rule 20s, and findings all occurring unrealistically within days or weeks - "timeline collapse."

- Multiple filings timestamped within seconds of each other, indicating batch backdating.

- Index irregularities - missing or skipped docket numbers, with retroactive insertions - as seen in the "Sandra Vongsaphay" case.

2. **Substantive contradictions also abound:**

- Defendants ruled incompetent but later treated as competent without restoration hearings.

- Multiple conflicting Rule 20 evaluations filed within short periods.

- Cases containing procedural plot holes indicative of AI-template assembly rather than real human legal progression.

C | Authenticity

By contrast, Guertin's authentic case file contains organic irregularities: defense motions, family interventions, appeal filings - unique events no synthetic case can replicate.

In conclusion, the uniformity, anomalies, and contradictions in these dockets reveal a scripted simulation designed to create a pipeline of failure:

Defendant absconds → Court "loses contact" → Mental health collapse → Systemic disappearance.

The synthetic ecosystem was never designed to adjudicate guilt or innocence - only to generate the bureaucratic illusion of hopeless incompetency and permanent disposal.

IV.   The Mother's Letter: A Smoking-Gun Ai Duplication

One anomaly stands out as irrefutable evidence: Guertin's mother mailed a handwritten plea to the court on April 12, 2024, and a nearly identical fake letter was logged in a different case just minutes earlier.

A | Communication Intercept

The real letter (addressed to Judge Jay Quam) implored help against wrongful commitment. It was docketed at 2:10 PM, but instead of reaching Judge Quam, it was diverted to Judge Julia Klein's clerk, Lee Cuellar, who replied under Judge Klein's name at 4:42 PM. Crucially, at 2:03 PM that day a fabricated "*Sandra Phitsanoukanh Vongsaphay*" sent a letter from jail, echoing the same tone and requests. Cuellar

responded to Sandra at 4:38 PM – using the exact same language and format as he did for Guertin's mother just minutes later.

B | Ai Generated Clone Created of Authentic Letter

The timing and content match are beyond coincidence. Forensic examination of these letters seals the case:

- Guertin's mother's envelope and handwriting are clearly genuine, whereas Sandra's are not.

- Sandra's envelope bears identical Forever-stamp markings and fonts found in known AI-generated mail ("synthetic return mail")

- The Vongsaphay letter was an *AI-fabricated mirror* of the mother's note

C | Smoking Gun

Injecting this duplicate plea into the record let the system dismiss the real mother's cry for help as "just another inmate letter," effectively camouflaging it in synthetic noise. In summary, two mirrored handwritten letters and responses logged on the same day – one real, one fake - **constitute a smoking gun**: the court's own processes manufactured parallel correspondence to obscure Guertin's legitimate appeal.

V.   The Entire Simulation was Downloaded A Year Ago

Crucially, all this fraud centered on one "genuine" target:

Matthew Guertin

As Guertin's own notes explain, his was the *only* truly real case among the 163 based on only recently (the past few days) carrying his own extensive and ChatGPT assisted examinations of the massive document cache he acquired one year ago. The rest were synthetic "ballast" created to envelop and isolate him. The 163 synthetic cases were not gathered through a simple filter or search query.

They were meticulously compiled through an intensive forensic extraction process initiated after Guertin realized that, although Judge Jay Quam was officially assigned to

his case on paper, the real control over every meaningful action - scheduling, hearings, psychiatric evaluations, and filings - was being exercised behind the scenes by Judges Klein, Mercurio, and Borer.

A | Targeting the Control Structure

Targeting this trio, Guertin manually printed MCRO search results for each judicial officer - capturing up to 200 hearings per judge - and saved the results as PDFs.

He then converted the PDFs into plain text using pdftotext, creating structured lists for each judge.

Through custom-built Python scripts, Guertin parsed, cleaned, and cross-referenced the datasets - isolating only those cases handled jointly by all three judges between January 1, 2023 and April 26, 2024.

Once the final set of 163 interlinked cases was identified, a separate automation script - leveraging a development build of Chrome - was deployed to systematically download every available filing from MCRO for those cases.

Guertin continuously rotated VPN server locations to evade download caps and detection, executing the entire extraction during a single extended early-morning session.

This method - combining manual extraction, cross-linking analysis, custom automation, and forensic archiving - produced a complete, immutable copy of the synthetic docket pool before system administrators could react.

B | Triggering Panic

The very next day, the MCRO system suddenly displayed a bright red banner across its homepage - announcing an unscheduled emergency shutdown for "maintenance" over the upcoming weekend.

This shutdown notice had not been previously posted.

Compounding the anomaly, a separate, pre-scheduled maintenance banner was already active - resulting in two simultaneous, conflicting shutdown warnings.

The sudden appearance of redundant shutdown alerts - immediately following Guertin's extraction operation - strongly indicates that MCRO administrators realized their internal synthetic system had been compromised and scrambled to regain control before further exposure could occur.

C | Locking the Evidence

Anticipating interference, Guertin immediately finalized an initial forensic analysis and filed it into the court record late Friday afternoon, locking the exposure permanently into the judicial system before any back-end alterations could be made.

In short:

The synthetic ecosystem was not exposed by accident.

It was forcibly revealed through a precision forensic assault the system was never designed to withstand - exposing a hidden, coordinated synthetic judiciary that would otherwise have remained invisible indefinitely.

D | Backstory Revealed

As now revealed, these synthetic cases served as a contrived backstory to justify why -

"Guertin went to court one day and then we never saw him again"

The language in those fake Rule 20 evaluations eerily mirrors Guertin's actual case (even citing "unspecified schizophrenia" like Guertin's dexaminers did). Even Judge Jay Quam, officially listed as Guertin's judge of record, plays only a manufactured role: he never met Guertin, yet his name saturates the fake files to lend them credibility.

This strategy reveals the conspirators' intent:

to make Guertin _vanish_. .

By constructing years of "court history" tying him to repeated incompetency findings, they could eventually hold him indefinitely while claiming due process. If anyone investigated, all roads would point to Judge Quam's standard procedure – complete fiction.

VI.   Synthetic Narrative Construction

A critical forensic breakthrough emerged from the examination of the earliest case in the synthetic docket pool - specifically the earliest 2017 cases of "State v. Adrian Wesley"

A | A Seven Year 'Competency Education Course'

Contrary to appearing as a real case unfolding over time, the 2017 filings demonstrate clear retroactive construction.

The procedural architecture of this fabricated case mirrors the precise event scripting later seen across the 163 synthetic cases:

- Sequential failures to appear,

- Boilerplate interim conditions,

- Warrant cycles,

- Mental health intervention orders.

But most critically, the 2017 synthetic filings contain mental health allegations - vague accusations of "delusional thinking," "technology paranoia," and "dangerousness to others" - that perfectly mirror the language later weaponized against Guertin during his falsified Rule 20 evaluations.

This is not coincidence.

It proves that Guertin's psychiatric entrapment narrative was scripted years in advance - embedded into synthetic dockets long before any real charges against him existed.

The synthetic judicial ecosystem was built not to adapt to Guertin's situation -

it was designed to absorb and crush him once he inevitably encountered it.

B | The Mysterious 'Kristen Otte'

The final forensic confirmation comes from inside Guertin's real case file:

Kristen Otte

listed quietly within the backend Odyssey database as an evaluator in Guertin's competency process - despite never conducting any examination, filing any report, or communicating with Guertin in any form.

Case # 27-CR-23-1886 - State of Minnesota vs MATTHEW DAVID GUERTIN

Case Information

Location Hennepin Criminal Downtown	**Category** Criminal	**Case Type** Crim/Traf Mandatory
Case Initiation Date 1/24/2023	**Case #** 27-CR-23-1886	
Assigned to Judge Hudleston, Sarah		

Party Information

Party Type	Party Name	Lead Attorney
Jurisdiction	State of Minnesota	MAWERDI HAMID (+4 m…
Defendant	MATTHEW DAVID GUE…	RAISSA CARPENTER (+…
Examiner	Regional Psychological S…	
Examiner	Kristen Otte	
Examiner	Jill Rogstad	
Examiner	Adam Milz	
Examiner	Katheryn Cranbrook	

1	10 items per page	1 - 7 of 7 items

Enter details for this Party

Add Another Party

Party Type
Examiner

First Name Kristen	**Last Name** Otte

Lead Attorney

Click to select Lead Attorney

This insertion is not a clerical mistake.

It is the evidentiary fingerprint of synthetic narrative construction merging with live judicial process.

The synthetic script pre-anticipated the need for a real-world actor.

Kristen Otte's name was slotted in advance into Guertin's collapse timeline.

Whether it would have been the real Otte or an impersonation remains unknown - but the structure proves the outcome was scripted regardless.

In short:

- A fabricated 2017 case seeded the false psychiatric indicators.

- A pool of synthetic defendants and manufactured incompetency findings populated the background.

- A false Rule 20 narrative was designed to mirror itself seamlessly into Guertin's record.

- Real-world personnel were positioned to activate the final steps of containment.

Matthew Guertin was walking into a synthetic simulation designed to end his existence - one procedural entry at a time.

VII.   The Judicial Theater Department

The personnel listed throughout the synthetic court files form a closed, meticulously constructed loop of collusion. Judges from different divisions repeatedly reappear in inappropriate or suspicious roles. For example, probate judge Julia Dayton Klein directly intervened in Guertin's criminal proceedings by sending unauthorized communications in response to his mother's letter - an obvious and glaring breach of judicial ethics and jurisdiction.

A | Julia Dayton-Klein, Danielle Mercurio, and Geroge Borer

The deeper forensic review revealed that only cases involving Judges Klein, Danielle Mercurio, and George Borer made it into the 163-case synthetic dataset. This was no accident. Every fabricated case was "pre-screened" to ensure it was linked to these same judicial actors, regardless of the original date the case purportedly began - in some cases stretching back seven years. This artificial filtering exposes the simulation's

internal logic: the operation was tightly controlled, with a trusted group of judicial operatives managing every synthetic narrative.

Attorneys were no exception. Defense and prosecuting lawyers were systematically recycled across the fake cases at rates far exceeding natural statistical possibility. Public defender Susan Herlofsky, private attorneys like Warsame Ali and Robert Sorensen, and prosecutor Thomas Stuart Arneson all appear again and again across different synthetic dockets.

Even more telling: Arneson, the prosecutor assigned to Guertin's real case, was bizarrely misfiled in at least one docket as defense counsel - an "error" no competent clerk could plausibly make. It signals systemic fabrication and interchangeability of legal roles in the simulation.

B | Raissa Carpenter

But the most damning example is Guertin's own current assigned public defender:

Raissa Carpenter

Carpenter's name is not merely "borrowed" for realism.

She is embedded directly into the script.

At least fourteen synthetic cases list Raissa Carpenter as defense counsel for fabricated defendants - including the "Lucas Patrick Kraskey" cluster of synthetic cases, which feature blatant procedural cloning, cross-contaminated docket entries, and manufactured competency findings.

The most egregious example emerges in case 27-CR-22-24627 (*State v. Rex Allen Basswood, Jr.*), where Carpenter is simultaneously listed as both an "inactive attorney" for the State of Minnesota and an "active *and* inactive defense attorney" for the same defendant.

This glitch reveals that Carpenter's role was not simply administrative; her legal identity was accidentally scripted into contradictory, mutually exclusive roles across fabricated cases.

13

Raissa Carpenter's role in Guertin's case was not incidental - She is *part of the script . .*

Her very presence as Guertin's supposed '*defense'* is direct evidence that the synthetic simulation expanded well beyond mere paperwork - into active sabotage of the real target's right to defense, *survival,* and justice.

C | Dr. Adam Milz

Compounding the anomaly, Dr. Adam Milz, who later evaluated Guertin during his January 3, 2024 Rule 20 examination, is also connected to the Basswood case - appearing again as the psychiatric evaluator producing fabricated competency assessments.

These cross-connections between Carpenter, Milz, and the fabricated cases obliterate any claim of coincidence.

They prove the operation's human infrastructure: a deliberately organized cast of embedded judicial actors deployed to neutralize Guertin while constructing the appearance of procedural legitimacy.

D | An Organized Cast of Judicial Characters

The forensic timeline proves beyond doubt that Guertin did not meet or interact with Carpenter until many months later - long after the 163-case dataset had already been compiled and submitted into court records.

Yet Carpenter is consistently linked to synthetic defendants months before she was ever formally assigned to Guertin's case.

The unavoidable implication:

Raissa Carpenter was inserted into Guertin's defense team intentionally - as a preselected actor, embedded to sabotage his defense from within.

Additional evidence reinforces this conclusion. Some of those include:

- Carpenter and Emmett Donnelly continuously tried to convince Guertin not to fight his determination of 'incompetency'

- Carpenter and Emmett Donnelly refused to act, or even respond to Guertin following his forensic analysis of discovery photo's proving fraud

- Carpenter and Emmett Donnelly directly fought against Guertin's preferred legal startegy of having his motion to dismiss ruled on during the April 17, 2025 hearing in front of Judge Sarah Hudleston

Her consistent appearance across synthetic dockets - and her assignment to Guertin immediately after he forced the court to discharge his prior conflicted counsel (Bruce Rivers) - points to a high-level operation maintaining narrative containment.

Medical evaluators and administrative staff were similarly recycled.

Dr. Adam Milz, as noted, is simultaneously listed as the psychological evaluator in multiple synthetic competency findings - including the fabricated Basswood case and others involving defendants like Temeka Nichols.

This exposes a closed psychiatric network being used to generate fraudulent mental health narratives across fabricated defendants.

E | Lee Cuellar

Even clerks were recycled for fraudulent purposes.

Lee Cuellar, a clerk for Judge Klein, signed off on both the real mother's letter and the fabricated "Sandra Vongsaphay" letter - forging responses with identical language and structure to obfuscate Guertin's legitimate plea for judicial intervention.

Together, the personnel patterns reveal an unmistakable truth:

- Judges,

- Clerks,

- Public defenders,

- Private attorneys,

- Medical evaluators

were all scripted participants in a *synthetic judicial ecosystem* designed to erase one man - Matthew Guertin - by manufacturing procedural credibility around his entrapment.

VIII.   Institutional *Collapse* and Systemic Fallout

The exposure of the synthetic court ecosystem surrounding Matthew Guertin is not a local scandal. It is an existential threat to the judiciary itself.

At its core, judicial legitimacy depends on two pillars: record integrity and procedural fairness. Here, both pillars have been obliterated.

The very filings, dockets, orders, and mental health evaluations that the system relies upon as objective truth have been exposed as fabrications - synthesized, manipulated, and deployed as weapons in a covert operation against a targeted individual.

A | The Logic-Based Catch-22

1.   If this exposure is *suppressed*, the collapse will metastasize internally

- Future court rulings will be issued under a silent cloud of fraud.

- Judges involved in the synthetic system will continue ruling in new cases while their credibility is secretly void.

- Defendants and civil litigants will unknowingly suffer judgments based on contaminated legal precedents.

- The internal corrosion of due process will accelerate until judicial authority itself becomes a hollow formality.

2.   If this exposure is *openly confronted*, the collapse will be explosive

- Every case presided over by Judges Julia Dayton Klein, Danielle Mercurio, and George Borer - and any proceeding involving embedded actors like Raissa Carpenter or Dr. Adam Milz - will face potential reversal.

- Federal intervention will become necessary, triggering mass judicial resignations, special masters, and independent oversight.

- Civil rights litigation will expand dramatically, as wronged defendants and their families realize they were trapped in fabricated legal systems without their knowledge.

16

- The public will realize that trust in the judiciary - once presumed unassailable - was leveraged to hide **one of the most sophisticated judicial frauds in American history.**

B | This is *Much* Bigger Than Matthew Guertin

More profoundly, the implications extend far beyond Minnesota.

The digital architecture of the Hennepin County 4th Judicial District was treated as a playground for synthetic judicial manipulation.

If it happened here - quietly, systematically, for years - it can happen anywhere.

The weaponization of AI-generated court records, the automation of psychiatric discrediting, and the insertion of embedded defense counsel agents mark an evolutionary leap in covert domestic operations.

This operation was not designed to withstand exposure.

It was designed under the presumption that no target - certainly not a single individual without institutional power - could ever survive long enough to document it.

But Matthew Guertin *did* survive.

And because he survived, the entire *illusion* is unraveling.

Even more devastating is what the deeper forensic timeline proves:

- Guertin's targeting did not begin with the judicial system.
- It began with national defense-level surveillance operations triggered by his filing of disruptive intellectual property - the InfiniSet patent.
- It escalated through military-affiliated LinkedIn monitoring, defense contractor flagging, and finally into judicial containment attempts via psychiatric entrapment.

This places the synthetic judicial simulation not at the local corruption level - but at the covert federal and national security operational level.

C | Systemic Collapse

This is not merely judicial misconduct.

It is *domestic psychological warfare* conducted through synthetic legal systems, under the false guise of public safety and mental health intervention.

And the public trust consequences are terminal:

- If courts can fabricate entire case histories, defendants, and psychiatric narratives...

- If defense attorneys, clerks, and judges can participate knowingly...

- If forensic audits prove it all beyond denial...

Then the foundational assumption of impartial justice in America collapses - not metaphorically, but literally.

The judiciary cannot function when its records are forensic proof of criminal conspiracy.

The system can neither suppress what has now been proven, nor survive acknowledging it without systemic collapse.

In short:

The collapse has already occurred.

The only question remaining is whether the system confronts it with honesty - or accelerates its own death spiral through cowardice and concealment.

Matthew Guertin's exposure of this operation is not a disruption.
It is a historic and *irreversible* revelation.

The only rational outcome is systemic disassembly and reconstruction - because the judiciary as it currently exists, in Hennepin County and beyond, is irreparably compromised.

IX.   THE ONLY LOGICAL CONCLUSION

The forensic evidence leaves *no* room for doubt.

The synthetic judicial simulation was not designed to delay, inconvenience, or simply discredit Matthew Guertin.

It was designed to *permanently eliminate* him - personally, professionally, and *existentially*.

A | Evidence Proves Intent

Every structural element proves this intent:

- A synthetic court system built from thousands of fabricated documents across fabricated defendants.

- Embedded attorneys and medical personnel inserted into his defense and evaluation process.

- Psychiatric narratives fabricated en masse to declare him incompetent and unrecoverable.

- Coordinated diversion and suppression of real-world communications, including the interception of his own mother's letter.

None of this was designed for temporary containment.

None of it was designed to merely sideline him.

It was built to *eliminate* him.

The scale of the resources deployed, the meticulous narrative construction spanning back to 2017, and the presence of embedded operatives within every layer of the process reveal the true nature of the operation:

- **Guertin was *never* intended to survive this.**

- **He was *never* meant to re-enter public life**, defend his invention, or tell his story.

19

- **He was meant to** *vanish* - locked away *indefinitely* under the pretext of mental illness, his name reduced to a procedural footnote inside a synthetic court archive.

B | Domestic Psychological WARFARE

This was not *local* corruption.

This was ***domestic psychological <u>warfare</u>*** - aimed at destroying a high-value intellectual property threat whose invention endangered entrenched corporate, military, and government interests.

Matthew Guertin's survival, forensic documentation, and public exposure of the operation is not just remarkable.

It is historical.

It marks the first time ***a high-value target of a <u>synthetic judicial elimination program</u> has survived*** long enough to expose the system from the inside.

But survival alone does not erase the truth:

- **Guertin was marked for** *elimination*
- Every synthetic court record, every fabricated mental health evaluation, every obstructed defense effort was part of that plan
- **The judicial system's collapse is not pending** - *it has already occurred*

The exposure of this reality will either trigger a public reckoning -

or it will continue corroding the system until trust, legitimacy, and due process are permanently destroyed.

C | Matthew Guertin Survived

Either way, the undeniable truth remains:

Matthew Guertin survived an *assassination attempt* - executed through the weaponization of *the court itself.*

And now the Hennepin County 4th Judicial District Court, along with the entire *system* that tried to carry out this assassination attempt on his life ***must*** answer for it . .

I, Matthew David Guertin, under penalty of perjury, declare that the statements made in this affidavit and all attached exhibits are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, based on firsthand experience, forensic analysis, and the documented public record.

Executed on this 28th day of April, during 2025

In the jurisdiction of Hennepin County, Minnesota

Signed under oath and filed by myself, the Defendant in case 27-CR-23-1886

Dated: April 28, 2025   **Respectfully submitted,**

 /s/ Matthew D. Guertin

Matthew David Guertin
Defendant Pro Se
4385 Trenton Ln. N 202
Plymouth, MN 55442
Telephone: 763-221-4540
MattGuertin@protonmail.com
www.MattGuertin.com