Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

STATE OF MINNESOTA **COUNTY OF HENNEPIN**	**DISTRICT COURT** **FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
State of Minnesota, Plaintiff, vs. Matthew David Guertin, Defendant.	Court File No. : 27-CR-23-1886 **AFFIDAVIT OF EXPOSURE: JUDICIAL SIMULATION AND PSYCHIATRIC ENTRAPMENT** Judicial Officer: Sarah Hudelston

TO: THE HONORABLE SARAH HUDLESTON, JUDGE OF DISTRICT COURT; MARY F. MORIARTY, HENNEPIN COUNTY ATTORNEY; AND MAWERDI HAMID, ASSISTANT HENNEPIN COUNTY ATTORNEY

SYNTHETIC JUDICIAL SYSTEM EXPOSED

AI-DRIVEN DOCKET SIMULATIONS AND PSYCHIATRIC DISPOSAL WITHIN THE 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

I. NO ONE WAS EVER SUPPOSED TO SEE THIS

This affidavit is a declaration of fact, backed by forensic evidence, digital archives, and sworn filings - all documenting a synthetic judicial containment system operating inside the 4th Judicial District of Minnesota. This system does not merely process criminal defendants. It simulates their existence. It generates psychiatric justification.

And it *disposes* of them.

It nearly disposed of me.

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

The structure is methodical: a closed-loop pipeline designed to contain, suppress, and discredit real people - those who challenge institutional power, those who ask the wrong questions, or in my case, those who invent something they were never supposed to own.

And it was only through sheer luck, technical skill, and justifiable paranoia abouth everything that was taking place that I managed to expose it from the inside. I didn't theorize any of this - I documented it, extracted it, downloaded it by the thousands, and then began piecing together the reality:

- Backdated court filings.
- Synthetic defendant names.
- AI-generated USPS envelopes.
- Recycled psychiatric evaluations.
- A procedural simulation running parallel to real court operations.

And when I began proving it, piece by piece, the system responded exactly as it was designed to.

Not with justice.

But with containment.

II. THE CONSPIRACY OF COMMITMENT IS NOT A THEORY - IT'S A PROTOCOL

In my previously filed Motion to Dismiss (*see Index 131*), I described the "Conspiracy of Commitment" - not as a metaphor, but as the actual operational protocol that was deployed against me beginning on January 24, 2023 – the day the very first document was filed into my newly created criminal case docket within this Court. This wasn't miscommunication. This wasn't judicial backlog.

This was entrapment under color of psychiatry.

The many hearings that kept getting canceled the day before and recheduled so that I wasn't able to attend my first court hearing until 164 days later?

The June 14, 2023 Court order granting a continuance for a non-existent motion?

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

My previously cleared criminal case history repopulated all the way back to 2002 with every parking ticket, littering ticket, and minor offense since I was 21 years old?

The psychiatric evaluations that claimed I was “delusional” and “psychotic” for saying my patent was stolen while being sure to never mention the police report I filed confirming this just 9 days before my arrest?

All of it was pre-programmed containment.

I was never supposed to be granted a stayed order of civil commitment following my August 4, 2023 Zoom hearing – but I was.

And so ‘Plan B’ was implemented.

With no clinical cause and no behavioral incidents reported, Judge Julia Dayton Klein issued a new “Order for Competency to Proceed” in my criminal case on November 15, 2023 - just 103 days after I had been granted a stayed order of civil commitment.

This occurred despite a positive 60–90 Day Report filed on October 30, 2023, just two weeks earlier, which stated:

- I was complying with all terms.
- I was benefiting from the provisional discharge.
- I was not causing any danger.
- The stay should be left untouched through February 10, 2024.

No Rule 20 examination had been requested.

No concern was raised by case management.

No motion had been made by the prosecution.

There was absolutely no legal basis for restarting the Rule 20 process.

This was not judicial discretion. This was *psychiatric suppression choreography*.

Then, on January 3, 2024, I participated in my second Rule 20 exam with Dr. Adam Milz over Zoom, with a January 16 ‘review hearing’ scheduled to take place shortly after.

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

During the afternoon of January 15, I sent a text message to my defense attorney Bruce Rivers to find out whether the hearing the following afternoon would be occurring over Zoom, or in person.

At 6:26pm he responded with “No court”

But there was…

At 8:26am the next morning - a document was authored under the filename “Commitment Order (MI, DD)” and signed first by Referee Danielle Mercurio at 8:27am, then by Judge Julia Dayton Klein at 9:22am.

That order:

- Stated I had *agreed* to a finding of incompetency.
- Was entered into my case many hours *before* the hearing I was told didn’t exist.
- Was filed out of sequence, tampered with, and timestamped using non-standard formatting - indicating post-processing or falsified document entry into the MCRO system.

A ‘Waiver of Appearance’ was entered into the record at Index #24 for this appearance on my behalf.

At 4:19pm that same day, while the backdated order was still hidden, a Notice of Hearing was filed for a July 16, 2024 Zoom appearance - six months away.

This filing gave the illusion that everything was stable.

Had I logged into the court system that day, I would have seen nothing suspicious.

Just a calm notice of a remote hearing half a year in the future.

Meanwhile, in the background - everything had already been triggered.

On January 17, at 7:29am, the January 16 court order, signed the previous morning, was filed into the docket.

By January 22, 2024, a sheriff was dispatched to serve me an Order to Appear - not in the criminal case, but in my civil commitment case.

- I was unaware.
- I had no attorney contact.

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

- I had never received the Rule 20 exam report.

On January 26, 2024, I discovered a surprise civil commitment hearing scheduled for February 1 - by complete chance - while reviewing my case files online.

I wasn't supposed to find out about the hearing until a sheriff knocked on my door to serve me with an "Order to Appear."

But I did.

And the moment I realized it, I mobilized.

I contacted my new court-appointed attorney - someone I had never spoken to before - after digging through the e-File system's "service contacts" section in my civil commitment docket. Had I not done this, I never would have spoken to him at all, because the court had provided him with the wrong phone number to reach me.

I immediately filed two pro se documents:

- A Request for Continuance, and
- A Motion for Production of Medical Records, both submitted on January 30, 2024.

The very next day, on January 31, I signed a Waiver agreeing to extend my Stayed Order of Civil Commitment an additional nine months - a tactical decision made solely to avoid being dragged into an in-person civil commitment hearing on February 1 before Referee George Borer - the same judicial officer who had declared me incompetent seven months earlier in criminal court.

Then, on February 1, 2024, a court order was issued:

> *"Cancelled and stricken from the calendar."*

And yet… the MCRO docket still marked the hearing as 'Held Off the Record.'

And once again, *backchannel document manipulation* occurred:

Index #40 in the civil docket - the placeholder for this event - is missing. Deleted. Erased.

Because the plan to *erase me* failed.

Narrowly.

This wasn't just procedural confusion.

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

It was a *targeted synthetic commitment operation.*

Everything - every forged timestamp, every deleted docket entry, every backdated court order, every sheriff dispatch used for forced appearance, every concealed hearing notice, every falsified PDF, every psychiatric referral without cause, and even the court's deliberate act of giving my attorney the wrong phone number - was carried out under the authority of the same three judicial officers:

> Judge Julia Dayton Klein, Referee George Borer, and Referee Danielle Mercurio.

They weren't just overseeing my criminal case anymore.

They had crossed over into my civil commitment docket to finish the job they failed to complete back in August.

That's not coincidence.

That's command and control.

And yet – somehow - I survived.

I narrowly avoided their second attempt to dispose of me.

At the very same time this ambush hearing was being quietly scheduled in secret, a psychiatric report - the January 11, 2024 Rule 20 evaluation by Dr. Adam Milz - was authored and immediately sealed away from me. I would not be given a copy for seven months, despite an untold number of pro se filings, direct attorney requests, and formal records demands.

That report falsely stated that I had -

> *"a history of threatening to harm himself, which elevates my long-term risk of similar behavior."*

That wasn't a mischaracterization.

It was a pretext.

It was written not to justify commitment - but to justify *disappearance*.

To pre-authorize my *erasure* in case I never returned.

And let me be absolutely clear:

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

I have no doubt that had I not discovered the surprise civil commitment hearing by complete chance - and had been forced to appear in person on February 1, 2024 - I would've ended up serving a life sentence.

And I wouldn't be writing this right now.

Exposing it right now.

Dismantling it right now.

This may sound hyperbolic.

It may even sound "crazy" or "*delusional*". .

But so is the idea of a multi-billion-dollar intellectual property theft - and a criminal case that was diverted into a synthetic psychiatric containment pipeline solely to suppress the one person who could prove it.

That is what this is *really* about.

This is what a modern disappearance protocol looks like *when it fails* - and this affidavit is what it looks like *when someone survives it.*

Which leads to the most chilling, and legally catastrophic question of all:

How many others didn't make it out ?

How many people were disappeared – legally - under false psychiatric pretense, inside a simulated docketing structure designed to make their cases untraceable?

III. DOCUMENTING THE SIMULATION INFRASTRUCTURE

What follows is not hypothesis - it's evidence. Each statement is backed by exhibits, forensic screenshots, code audit trails, and filings already entered into the court record. If any of it were false, I'd be facing criminal sanctions.

Instead, I'm still here.

Because it's all true.

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

A | Recycled Defendants, Duplicate Identities, and AI-Generated Naming Drift

Using a combination of pdftotext, custom Python scripts, and direct downloads from the MCRO database, I compiled and analyzed over 3,500 court filings spanning 163 individual criminal and mental health dockets. What emerged was a *synthetic population.*

Identical or near-identical defendants appear across unrelated cases:

- Angelic Denise *Nunn*
- Angelic Denise *Schaefer*
- Priest Jesus Dorsey
- Makis *Devell* Lane
- Makis *Devil* Lane
- Makis *Duvell* Lane

These are not real people. These are *language model drift outputs*. Anyone trained in generative AI will recognize the pattern: names constructed from Biblical first names, repeated syllables, and recycled phonetic structures. This is not the randomness of life. ***This is programmatic ghost fabrication.***

B | AI-Generated USPS Envelopes and Service Artifacts

In multiple filings, returned mail envelopes were submitted as “proof” of service or failed service. Upon forensic analysis:

- The handwriting is identical across different envelopes.
- The stamps are the same.
- There is no barcode variance - something that is impossible in real USPS mail.
- Image compression overlays reveal direct layer reuse - indicating that the same envelope image was recycled and resubmitted with different names.

These are not clerical errors. These are Photoshop forgeries. And they were entered into MCRO court record system as if they were genuine.

See Exhibits A and B of the Synthetic Court Evidence set for a complete, digital forensic breakdown.

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

C | Psychiatric Evaluations Written Without Interviews, Based on Fabricated Discovery

Rule 20 evaluations in my case were constructed using falsified discovery images, forged timestamps, and manipulated "environmental" photos that I later proved - via forensic image analysis to be completely fabricated (*see Index 122, 123, 124*).

And yet these were the basis for:

- A diagnosis of a "psychotic disorder"
- The argument that I posed a danger to myself, and the public.
- An attempted involuntary commitment that would have eliminated my voice, access to the courts, and freedom for an indefinite amount of time – likely *forever.*

The Rogstad report? It omits my Minnetonka Police report entirely - despite listing it under "documents reviewed" - and then goes on to characterize my mention of the "FBI" and "Minnetonka Police" as a nonsensical and incomprehensible utterance made during the exam. This, even though the same report ultimately recommends that I *contact the FBI once my digital evidence is parsed.*

The Milz report? It flatly refused to acknowledge any of the evidence I submitted and is completely contradicted by the secret video recording I captured of our Zoom meeting. The one they weren't aware I had until eight months later when I submitted it into my federal civil rights case as an evidence exhibit – every Rule 20 request that's folllowed has demanded an in-person meeting ever since.

They don't seem to like it when their lies, and false narratives can be exposed..

The Cranbrook report? Authored without ever meeting me. It used the existence of my pro se federal civil rights lawsuit - which explicitly alleges fraudulent mental health evaluations - as circular justification to carry out yet another fraudulent evaluation. It once again labeled me "psychotic" and recommended I be forcibly medicated with antipsychotics to "help" me.

The entire psychiatric apparatus?

It was never about medicine.

It was a *containment narrative* - crafted to silence, discredit, suppress, and then *disappear.*

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

D | Judge Looping and Synthetic Rotation Patterns

The dataset I compiled was filtered specifically to include only the cases handled by the same three judicial officers who had been controlling every aspect of my own prosecution from the beginning:

- Judge Julia Dayton Klein
- Referee George Borer
- Referee Danielle Mercurio (now *Judge*)

What emerged was not a random cross-section, but a *closed judicial loop*. These three actors appeared in overlapping roles across criminal, mental health, and probate cases - often issuing identical orders, referencing the same recycled psychiatric justifications, and applying nearly identical logic to entirely different defendants.

In many case clusters, orders from one judge were copied verbatim into unrelated dockets, indicating not independent review - but a *templated simulation* designed to replicate the appearance of judicial process.

This isn't bias.

This is *scripted control.*

E | The MCRO Audit That Broke the Illusion

The final blow came when I filed the MCRO Affidavit on May 3, 2024 (*see Index 37, attached Exhibit D*) - documenting:

- Procedural impossibilities
- Duplicate timestamp records
- Caseload inflation artifacts
- Judge-to-judge cross-looping patterns
- Simulated Rule 20 event cycles

And then?

The entire MCRO system went into red alert, with bright red banners across the public interface declaring a sudden weekend-long "maintenance shutdown" would now be occurring in just a few

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

days – even though there was already one scheduled for *after* that weekend, which had been posted for quite a while.

They saw what I did.

They knew I'd seen too much.

So I filed it into the record Friday afternoon - before they could change anything.

That's when the simulation cracked.

IV. THE SYNTHETIC JUDICIAL LOOP: DATA-DRIVEN EVIDENCE OF SYSTEMIC CONTAINMENT

This section presents a more detailed analysis of the structured spreadsheet dataset compiled by the Defendant and now entered into the court record as Exhibit G in support of this affidavit. The data was filtered exclusively to track the participation of judicial officers in psychiatric containment actions carried out under Rule 20.01 within the Hennepin County 4th Judicial District.

The spreadsheet maps:

- Which judicial officer *initially ordered* the Rule 20 psychiatric evaluation
- Which judicial officer made the incompetency *determination*
- And which judge formally signed the *resulting order*

The case origination dates span from 2017 through 2024, while the actual hearing activity recorded in the dataset spans a highly compressed window: January 1, 2023 through April 26, 2024.

This forensic breakdown reveals the existence of a *Synthetic Judicial Loop* - a closed, systemic pattern of containment routed through a core cluster of judicial actors who appear repeatedly and disproportionately in psychiatric proceedings across this district.

A | CLOSED-LOOP JUDICIAL CONTROL

This dataset was filtered intentionally to trace only those cases involving Judge Julia Dayton Klein, Referee George Borer, and Referee (now Judge) Danielle Mercurio - the same

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

three individuals who controlled every procedural milestone in my own criminal and civil commitment dockets.

What emerged was a clear and statistically anomalous pattern:

- These three officers appeared again and again across unrelated cases - rotating through roles as initiators, determiners, and final signatories of Rule 20 outcomes.
- Other judges appeared occasionally, but none showed the volume, frequency, or sustained presence across this subset of proceedings as these three.

The data confirms: this is not broad judicial involvement - it is a tight procedural loop.

B | UNNATURAL ROLE ROTATION AND ORDER SEQUENCING

Across the documented cases:

- One officer would initiate the Rule 20 exam (often Mercurio)
- Another would make the incompetency finding (often Borer)
- And Judge Klein would finalize the order as the formal signatory

This rotation occurred with remarkable consistency, regardless of case year or context. Such patterning strongly suggests a pre-designated channel for psychiatric processing - a system in which judicial outcomes may be determined by role, rather than by independent review of case-specific facts.

C | IRREGULAR DOMINANCE OF A SINGLE JUDGE

While the spreadsheet includes entries involving numerous judicial officers, only one judge - Julia Dayton Klein - appears with overwhelming and repeated involvement across every phase of the psychiatric containment loop.

The forensic audit of Exhibit G reveals:

- Judge Klein issued the initial Rule 20.01 psychiatric evaluation order in ***33*** separate cases
- She acted as the final signatory on 46 psychiatric incompetency orders
- She performed both roles - initiating the Rule 20 exam and signing the final determination - in 10 separate cases
- **Most damningly, she exercised *total procedural control* - acting as**
    - The *initiating* authority for the Rule 20.01 order,

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

- The judicial officer who made the incompetency *determination*, and
- The judge who signed the *final order* - in at least four documented cases, including:

  27-CR-20-7092

  27-CR-21-933

  27-CR-21-6229

  27-CR-22-18938

This is an extraordinary and statistically indefensible concentration of power.

In most psychiatric processing cases, judicial roles are distributed:

- One officer initiates the Rule 20.
- A referee or magistrate hears the evidence and renders a finding.
- A separate judge signs the final order.

But Judge Julia Dayton Klein appears repeatedly in all three roles - across multiple cases.

Notably, in my own case (27-CR-23-1886), Judge Klein both ordered the initial Rule 20 evaluation and signed the final incompetency determination, despite the recommendation being issued by Referee George Borer – even though the document metadata indicates Referee Danielle Mercurio as the actual author of the document.

This pattern reveals far more than mere *overassignment.*

> ***It reflects a centralized command structure in which Judge Klein is not simply participating in psychiatric processing - she is controlling it.***

Such role repetition is not consistent with standard judicial operations. It strongly suggests that her involvement is not calendar-based or procedural happenstance - but structurally, and sytematically predesignated.

D | WHAT EXHIBIT G OBJECTIVELY PROVES

This exhibit does not include evidence of forged documents or AI synthesis.

Nor does it draw conclusions about defendants or medical legitimacy.

What it does prove - beyond dispute - is that:

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

- A small subset of judicial officers have exercised outsized control over psychiatric containment orders
- These officers have done so with unusual consistency, repetition, and structural role alignment
- The court's internal handling of Rule 20 proceedings is not evenly distributed, but highly centralized

This is not a hypothetical pattern.

It is an evidentiary blueprint for how psychiatric authority has been concentrated and repeatedly activated by a procedural triad - one that just so happened to control every single aspect of my own case up until I began filing cases in the MN Court of Appeals, MN Federal District, and an appeal to the 8th Circuit.

V. A SYNTHETICALLY CREATED AND WEAPONIZED MCRO DOCKET

A | THE DUPLICATE LETTER FILED TO MIMIC MY MOTHER'S

On April 12, 2024, my mother's handwritten letter to the court - addressed specifically to Judge Jay Quam, the officially listed judge of record for my case -

> - was formally filed into my MCRO case docket at **2:10 PM**



27-CR-23-1886

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/12/2024 2:10 PM

March 23, 2024 27-CR-23-1886 (1)

Dear Honorable Judge Jay Quam & courts

The letter was deliberately written, and addressed directly to 'Judge Jay Quam' for the explicit purpose of bypassing the obstruction and control being exerted by the Julia Dayton-Klein trio. It was a purposeful, and logical attempt at trying to get in contact with a neutral judicial actor, to address the systemic misconduct surrounding my case, and to raise alarm about the coordinated efforts to institutionalize me without legal or clinical justification.

Despite my mother's hand-written letter, and envelope very clearly being directed to Judge Quam, it never reached him – instead being intercepted by Judge Klein's "Judicial Clerk."

> - Then, exactly two hours and thirty-two minutes later, at **4:42 PM**

MINNESOTA JUDICIAL BRANCH
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PROBATE/MENTAL HEALTH DIVISION
4TH FLOOR COURTS TOWER
HENNEPIN COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
300 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55487
WWW.MNCOURTS.GOV/DISTRICT/4

April 12, 2024

- a formal response letter was submitted into my case by "Lee Cuellar - Judicial Clerk to the *Honorable* Julia Dayton Klein" - thanking my mother for her correspondence and stating that he had "circulated it to the parties."

But that's not all.

On this identical day, and at identical time, a nearly identical letter - handwritten by a woman named ***"Sandra Phitsanoukanh Vongsaphay . . . ."***, who appears repeatedly in the simulated judicial, and procedural infrastructure revealed during my court records analysis - was also submitted to the court. This handwritten letter echoes the same structure, tone, and plea for help seen in my mother's letter.

And it, too, was accompanied by an *IDENTICAL,* "4th Judicial District" officially endorsed reply signed by the exact same "Lee Cuellar - Judicial Clerk to the Honorable Julia Dayton Klein"

> The "Sandra *Vongsaphay*" letter was filed at **2:03 PM**
>
> - ***- just 7 minutes before my Mom's letter . .***

Judge DAYTON Klein

27-CR-21-5142
27-CR-22-18824
27-CR-23-2480
27-CR-23-16937

4/8/2024

I need help understanding what is happening with my case. I don't understand

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

- Lee Cuellar's response to "*Vongsaphay*" was filed at **4:38 PM**
  - ***- just 4 minutes before the one filed into my case . .***

27-CR-23-2480

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/12/2024 4:38 PM

MINNESOTA JUDICIAL BRANCH
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PROBATE/MENTAL HEALTH DIVISION
4TH FLOOR COURTS TOWER
HENNEPIN COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
300 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55487
WWW.MNCOURTS.GOV/DISTRICT/4

April 12, 2024

These letters are not a coincidence.

They were part of a synthetic reply simulation - designed to blur the record, construct plausible deniability, and render a very real plea for help (from my own mother) indistinguishable from what appears to be a procedurally synthesized background letter, used for narrative calibration in case management systems.

A simulated appearance of legitimacy - achieved through the insertion of completely fraudulent court dockets, defendants, correspondence, Rule 20 orders, returned mail scans, arrest records, release paperwork, civil commitment proceedings, and more.

And it doesn't stop there.

Just wait. It only becomes more surreal, more incomprehensible, and harder to grasp.

How do you think I feel?

This wasn't just deception.

It was a deliberate falsification of court record context - a real-time narrative mirroring operation carried out through the court's own filing infrastructure.

This is not how justice works.

This is a high-level, technologically advanced synthetic court ecosystem - built on fraudulent case files and fictitious defendants, camouflaged among the court's authentic, everyday casework.

It runs in parallel. It runs in unison.

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

And its purpose is nothing short of an inversion of everything this court claims to represent: fairness, due process, and the rule of law.

Not by a small degree.

But by a magnitude so vast, so inverted, that I myself am still struggling to fully comprehend what I've just now uncovered.

B | Comparative Forensic Examination of Handwritten Letter and Envelope Submissions

This report analyzes two sets of scanned court submissions, each consisting of a handwritten letter and its associated mailing envelope. One set is believed to be authentic (*Guertin's Mother*), and the other set is suspected of being synthetically fabricated using artificial intelligence or digital editing (*Sandra Vongsaphay - 27-CR-23-8649*).

The goal of this report is to clearly explain how authentic, naturally written and mailed correspondence can be distinguished from artificial or falsified versions, in a way that is easy to understand regardless of the reader's technical background.



Letter from Guertin's mother to Judge Jay Quam (*see Exhibit I*)



Letter from "Sandra *Phitsanoukanh Vongsaphay*" to Judge Julia Dayton Klein

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

1. Handwritten Letter: Authentic vs Synthetic

Feature	Authentic Letter	Synthetic Letter
Line Structure	Irregular and slightly drifting, reflecting natural human handwriting over time	Perfectly centered and uniformly spaced, suggesting digital handwriting generation
Ink Flow & Pressure	Variable ink thickness, especially at the beginning and end of strokes	Uniform stroke weight throughout the document – impossible in physical writing
Line Integration	Text follows the horizontal lines naturally but wavers subtly	Writing rides mechanically across lines, often misaligned by exactly the same margin
Letter Variability	Minor inconsistencies in how each letter is formed, natural in real handwriting	Letters appear copy-pasted or cloned in formation – nearly identical every time
Human Imperfections	Occasional misspellings, overwritten corrections, and spacing quirks	No visible corrections or irregularities, consistent with generated text
Emotive Pressure	Variation in handwriting pressure suggesting emotional stress (e.g. heavier on emotionally charged lines)	Emotionally “flat” text – no signs of variable human pressure or momentum

Conclusion:

- The Sandra Vongsaphay letter lacks all natural hallmarks of handwriting.
- The text very clearly appears to be generated using an AI handwriting synthesizer or digital handwriting font. (especially by simply zooming in on the pixels..)

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM



2. Envelope: Authentic vs Synthetic

Feature	Authentic Envelope	Synthetic Envelope
Postmark & Barcode	USPS markings are aligned, smudged, and printed using postal machinery	Elements like barcodes appear pasted or superimposed, lacking bleed or imprinting
Stamp & Ink Overlay	Ink slightly overlaps and interacts with physical creases and stamp edges	Ink appears "on top" of all textures - evidence of layering in digital image editors
Return Address Ink	Shows pressure points from pen contact; unevenness from hand movement	Consistent ink tone, perfect character alignment - indicates font use, not penmanship
Envelope Texture	Shadows and folds align with the physical depth of the envelope	Envelope has a “flat” digital texture with suspiciously even lighting
Real-World Smudging	Evidence of handling, mail processing friction, and paper compression	Completely clean - no edge damage, smudges, or signs of handling whatsoever
Emotive Pressure	Variation in handwriting pressure suggesting emotional stress (e.g. heavier on emotionally charged lines)	Emotionally “flat” text – no signs of variable human pressure or momentum

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

Conclusion:

- The Vongsaphay envelope shows numerous signs of being digitally constructed and composited.
- Barcode regions and stamps appear rendered or inserted, not scanned from a physical object.

C | TECHNICAL FORENSIC INDICATORS OF SYNTHETICITY

1. **Uniform Handwriting Generation**
   AI-generated handwriting systems use stroke templates that result in unnatural consistency across letters.

2. **Flat Image Layers**
   In synthetic composites, all elements exist on the same visual plane - real-world depth, bending, and shadowing are absent.

3. **Digital Barcode Injection**
   USPS barcodes typically have minor scan misalignments and print-to-paper transfer inconsistencies - these are not present in the synthetic version.

4. **Absence of Physical Interactions**
   Real-world envelope scans capture light, shadow, smudge, and texture. AI-generated envelopes do not simulate these naturally.

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

D | CONCLUSION

- The "Sandra *Phitsanoukanh Vongsaphay*" letter should be excluded from evidentiary use pending a formal fraud investigation.
- Visual forensics tools or physical examination by a USPS forensic specialist can further confirm synthetic compositing.

VI. SYNTHETIC RETURN MAIL FOR THE SYNTHETIC CASES

In case you still may not be fully convinced, or haven't yet been able to come to terms with exactly what I am laying out here I believe that this section featuring a few pages of this Courts, very own 'official' return mail filings that I extracted from the MCRO records sytem will serve as the moment in which the implications of what I am laying out in this affidavit will undoubtedly 'click'.

Even though literally ALL of the purported USPS mail scans do indeed appear to almost all be 100% synthetic – as in generated entirely by Ai - I figured it would be even more compelling if I were able to tie it in from a much more 'personal' perspective by presenting the most damning, easy to understand visual evidence thus far (the massive amount of fake USPS envelope scans used as an easy method of populating synthetic cases with 'filler' documents) in a way which can also be utilized to carry out the final blow to ALL of it. This entire court essentially – or at least the last remaining layers of trust and credibility which still reamain, and people won't also think is synthetic once they're able to truly grasp not only the scope of this synthetic judicial system I am laying out – but what very clearly is now appearing to be the likely reason that all of it even exists in the first place.

But right now let's use the ai generated, fake USPS envelope scans as the final 'piece of the puzzle' needed to finish dismantling the supposed letter sent to the court by, Sandra Phitsanoukanh Vongsaphay

SAN-druh FITS-ah-noo-kahn VONG-suh-fay

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

SANDRA PHITSANOUKANH VONGSAPHAY
401 S 4TH AVE S STE 100
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55415

In the document submitted by Lee Cuellar to the court on April 12, 2024 for Sandra Vongsaphay's letter - her zip code is documented as:

55415

Defendant
Vongsaphay, Sandra Active Warrant
DOB: 01/01/1981
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55404-1601

Case Details (Register of Actions)
Search executed on 04/29/2024 06:02 PM

I would end up downloading this docket PDF as part of my MCRO audit on April 29, 2024 - Notably, Sandra's zip code for this official docket text is **55404**

Defendant
Vongsaphay, Sandra Active Warrant

Case Details (Register of Actions)
Search executed on 04/29/2024 06:02 PM

Sandra's case file lists an active warrant on April 29, 2024



….even though her April 8th letter (filed in court Apr 12) was supposedly written from the Hennepin County Jail

Warrants

Inactive Warrants

Vongsaphay, Sandra Bench Warrant-fail to appear at a hearing
Judicial Officer: Dayton Klein, Julia
02/26/2024 10:41 AM Status: Warrant Cleared by Wt Office
09/12/2023 01:30 PM Status: Issued Active

..and the warrant history in her case file doesn't align with this at all. The only warrant ever issues was on Sept 12, 2023 – and then cleared on Feb 26, 2024

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

04/26/2024	Order for Conditional Release Judicial Officer: Olson, Joel Index #32
04/12/2024	Correspondence Index #31

..and she was released from the Hennepin County Jail three days prior

04/04/2024	Finding of Incompetency and Order Judicial Officer: Browne, Michael K Index #27	*5 pages*
04/02/2024	Notice of Remote Hearing with Instructions Index #29	*2 pages*
04/02/2024	Motion Judicial Officer: Dayton Klein, Julia Party: Attorney Irfanullah, Christine Index #28	
03/29/2024	Rule 20 Evaluation Report Index #26	
03/16/2023	Notice of Intent to Prosecute Index #12	*1 page*
03/08/2023	Finding of Incompetency and Order Judicial Officer: Dayton Klein, Julia Index #10	*5 pages*
03/07/2023	Motion Judicial Officer: Dayton Klein, Julia Party: Attorney Herlofsky, Susan Index #11	
02/01/2023	E-filed Comp-Order for Detention Index #1	*Unknown pages*
01/31/2023	Application for Public Defender Index #3	

Sandra Vongsaphay's Docket is a mess – multiple indexes out of sequence (*1*, *3*, *27-29*, *19*, *20*, *10*, *11*)

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

VII. DUPLICATE FAKE ADDRESSES SEALS THE DEAL

In case you still may not be fully convinced, or haven't yet been able to come to terms with exactly what I am laying out here I believe that this section featuring a few pages of this Courts, very own 'official' return mail filings that I extracted from the MCRO records sytem will serve as the moment in which the implications of what I am laying out in this affidavit will undoubtedly 'click'.



SANDRA PHITSANOUKANH VONGSAPHAY
401 S 4TH AVE S STE 100
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55415

Document submitted by "Lee Cuellar" on April 12, 2024 for Sandra Vongsaphay
Notably, Sandra's zip code is documented as *55415*



Sandra Vongsaphay, 'Official' Returned Mail in her case file (entire case submitted as evidence, 27-CR-23-2480)



Houston – WE HAVE A PROBLEM! Unless *SAN-druh FITS-ah-noo-kahn VONG-suh-fay* and *an-JEL-ick NUNN* are perhaps roomates within the Ai simulation ?

PROBATE/MENTAL HEALTH DIVISION
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
C-400 HENNEPIN COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0340

Return Service Requested

27CR203244, 27CR211977
27CR211978
27CR222003
27CR231567

NIXIE 553 FE 1260 0011/29/23
RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD
ANK BC: 554870340 *0378-01816-29-23

ANGELIC DENISE SCHAEFER, AKA ANGELIC N
740 E 17TH STREE
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55404

PROBATE/MENTAL HEALTH DIVISION
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
C-400 HENNEPIN COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0340

Return Service Requested

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
2023 DEC -4 A 10: 21

27CR203244, 27CR211977
27CR211978
27CR222003
27CR231567

RECEIVED
DEC 07 2023
BY:

NIXIE 553 FE 1260 0011/29/23
RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD

ANGELIC DENISE SCHAEFER, AKA ANGELIC N
740 E 17TH STREE
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55404

MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0419

PRESORTED FIRST CLASS
ZIP 55487 $ 000.45
02 4W
0000385560 AUG 18 20

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 17TH AVE NE

NIXIE 553 DE 1260 0009/06/22
RETURN TO SENDER
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD
UTF BC: 554870419 *2878-04977-08-31

PRESORTED FIRST CLASS
ZIP 55487 $ 000.4
02 4W
0000385560 SEP 26 2

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 17TH ST E
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55404

NIXIE 553 FE 1260 0010/29/22
RETURN TO SENDER
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
300 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0332

PRESORTED FIRST CLASS
US POSTAGE PITNEY BOWES
ZIP 55487 $ 000.4
02 4W
0000385559 JUN 23 2

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
AUG 04 2022

JUN 27 2022
RETURN TO SENDER

Notice

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 17TH ST E
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55404

NIXIE 553 FE 1260 0008/02/22
RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD

HENNEPIN COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT MC - 419
CRIMINAL/TRAFFIC DIVISION C1153
300 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0419

PRESORTED FIRST CLASS
US POSTAGE PITNEY BOWES
ZIP 55487 $ 000.455
02 4W
0000385560 AUG 18 2022

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
SEP 09 2022

RETURN TO SENDER

AUG 20 2022

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 17TH AVE NE

NIXIE 553 DE 1260 0009/08/22
RETURN TO SENDER
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD

HENNEPIN COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
300 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0332

PRESORTED FIRST CLASS
US POSTAGE PITNEY BOWES
ZIP 55487 $ 000.498
02 4W
0000385560 AUG 28 2023

RTS

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
OCT 11 2023
SEP 01 2023

v.

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 E 17TH STREET
Minneapolis MN 55408

-R-T-S- 554043001-1N 260 10/06/23
RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD
RETURN TO SENDER

HENNEPIN COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
300 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0332

Filed in District Court
State
MAY 18 2023

PRESORTED FIRST CLASS
US POSTAGE PITNEY BOWES
ZIP 55487 $ 000.471
02 4W
0000385560 APR 10 2023

APR 12 2023

v.

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 E 17th Street
Minneapolis MN 55404

-R-T-S- 554044008-1N 260 05/17/23
RETURN TO SENDER
UNCLAIMED
UNABLE TO FORWARD
RETURN TO SENDER

HENNEPIN COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
300 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0332

PRESORTED FIRST CLASS

US POSTAGE PITNEY BOWES
ZIP 55487 $ 000.45[5]
02 4W
0000385560 SEP 26 2022

RETURN TO SENDER

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
NOV 01 2022

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 17TH ST E
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55404

NIXIE 553 FE 1260 0010/29/22
RETURN TO SENDER
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD

IMINAL/TRAFFIC DIVISION C1153
0 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
NNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0419

PRESORTED FIRST CLASS

ZIP 55487 $ 000.42[6]
02 1W
0001387305 FEB 11 2022

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
APR 27 2022

Notice of

NIXIE 553 DE 1260 0004/23/22
RETURN TO SENDER
UNCLAIMED
UNABLE TO FORWARD

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 E 17TH AVE

HENNEPIN COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT MC - 419
CRIMINAL/TRAFFIC DIVISION C1153
300 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0419

PRESORTED FIRST CLASS

US POSTAGE PITNEY BOWES
ZIP 55487 $ 000.42[6]
02 1W
0001387305 FEB 11 2022

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
APR 27 2022

Notice of

NIXIE 553 DE 1260 0004/23/22
RETURN TO SENDER
UNCLAIMED
UNABLE TO FORWARD

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 E 17TH AVE

300 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0332

ZIP 55487 $ 000.63[0]
02 4W
0000385560 OCT 26 2023

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
NOV 14 2023

1203

OCT 28 2023

Court File
20033; 2

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 E 17TH STREE
Minneapolis MN 55404

NIXIE 553 FE 1 0011/10/23
RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN

CRIMINAL CENTRALIZED UNIT RM#160
JUVENILE JUSTICE CENTER
590 PARK AVENUE SOUTH
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55415-1573

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
MAR 26 2021

RETURN TO SENDER

US POSTAGE PITNEY BOWES
ZIP 55487 $ 000.71⁰
02 4W
0001367305 FEB 02 2021

FEB 18 2021

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 E 17TH ST

NIXIE 553 DE 1 0003/24/21
RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD

HENNEPIN COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
300 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0332

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
MAY 18 2023

PRESORTED
FIRST CLASS

US POSTAGE PITNEY BOWES
ZIP 55487 $ 000.4
02 4W
0000385560 APR 10

APR 12 2023

v.

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 E 17th Street
Minneapolis MN 55404

-R-T-S- 554044008-1N 260 05/17/23

RETURN TO SENDER
UNCLAIMED
UNABLE TO FORWARD
RETURN TO SENDER

HENNEPIN COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
300 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0332

PRESORTED
FIRST CLASS

US POSTAGE PITNEY BOWES
ZIP 55487 $ 000.49⁸
02 4W
0000385560 AUG 28 2023

RTS

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
OCT 11 2023

SEP 01 2023

v.

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 E 17TH STREET
Minneapolis MN 55408

-R-T-S- 554043001-1N 260 10/06/23

RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD
RETURN TO SENDER

300 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55487-0332

ZIP 55487 $ 000.63⁰
02 4W
0000385560 OCT 26 2023

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
NOV 14 2023

RTS

OCT 28 2023

v.

ANGELIC DENISE NUNN
740 E 17TH STREE
Minneapolis MN 55404

Court File
20033; 2

NIXIE 553 FE 1 0011/10/23
RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

VIII. DEMANDS FOR SYSTEMIC RELIEF AND OVERSIGHT

This filing does not seek "leniency." It seeks *detonation.*

The evidence now before this court cannot be unseen. The existence of a *synthetic judicial system* - complete with AI-generated court records, procedurally forged psychiatric rulings, and simulated service events - invalidates not just my prosecution, but the legitimacy of the entire judicial infrastructure used to process it. The same infrastructure this Court relies on for its public perception of being "impartial" and "just"...

This Court has a decision to make:

- Acknowledge the simulation.
- Or *collapse with it.*

Accordingly, I now demand the following - formally, on the record, and backed by sworn affidavit and accompanying exhibits:

A | Immediate Dismissal of Case 27-CR-23-1886 With Prejudice

There is no longer a lawful basis for this prosecution.

The charges within this Court originated on January 24, 2023, and the record of their existence has now been proven to be:

- Constructed with falsified discovery materials
- Supported by psychiatric reports built on synthetic logic and provable lies
- Processed inside a simulation environment containing nonexistent defendants

No further analysis is needed. No evidentiary hearing is required.

This case must be dismissed with prejudice - not as a procedural outcome, but as a moral necessity.

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

B | Permanent Termination of All Further Psychiatric Monitoring, Rule 20 Examination Orders, or Any Court-Imposed Actions Purporting to Reflect '*Care and Concern*' for My Mental Well-Being

The Rule 20 apparatus in this case has just been permanently disqualified.

The supposed 'mental health experts' who filed these reports either:

- Did not meet with me
- Were provided with fabricated evidence
- Submitted ghost-written, or ghost-edited reports

And the court that commissioned those reports did so while hiding exculpatory evidence, backdating filings, and attempting to have me involuntarily committed based on events that did not happen.

There is no longer any psychiatric legitimacy to invoke.

Any further attempt to revive that track is an act of ***psychiatric warfare***, not medicine.

C | Full Third-Party Audit of Minnesota's Digital Case Management System (MCRO)

I formally request that an outside digital forensics body - independent of the Minnesota Judicial Branch - be appointed to:

- Conduct an audit the *entire* MCRO system - across *all* Minnesota judicial branches
- Identify all cases processed under synthetic defendant IDs, forged service filings, or procedural template loops
- Cross-reference judge-assignment rotation data to identify loop-locked courtrooms
- Document all backdated orders, nonphysical service artifacts, and AI-generated metadata anomalies

If this court is incapable of initiating such a review, then the Department of Justice must (or at least *pretend* to..)

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

D | An Immediate Independent Investigation

The forensic record I have now submitted in the form of the many additional exhibits submitted into the record now proves that a synthetic psychiatric containment system is operating within the Hennepin County 4th Judicial District. This system is responsible for document forgery, simulated service events, procedural rerouting, and the targeted use of psychiatric weaponization to suppress, discredit, and disappear individuals under the false pretense of mental illness.

This is not isolated to my case.

It is systemic.

And it would be *delusional* to believe I'm the first - or the only - person this system has been used against.

Accordingly, I am calling for an immediate, independent investigation and audit of all cases - past and present - in which individuals were declared incompetent, psychotic, or mentally ill within this judicial district. This investigation must be conducted by a legal oversight body outside the Minnesota judiciary, such as:

- The Minnesota Office of the Legislative Auditor
- The United States Department of Justice - Civil Rights Division

- or a federally appointed Special Master or Independent Oversight Panel with full investigatory and subpoena authority

1. **This investigation should focus on (but not be limited to):**

- Figuring out which cases within the MCRO system are legitimate ones, and which ones are synthetic
- The 10 Mental Health/ Probate cases identified in my analysis as all involving Judge Julia Dayton-Klein, Referee Danielle Mercurio, and George Borer. These case numbers are:
  - 27-MH-PR-22-1394
  - 27-MH-PR-23-224
  - 27-MH-PR-23-358
  - 27-MH-PR-23-892
  - 27-MH-PR-23-1020

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

- 27-MH-PR-23-1021
- 27-MH-PR-23-1181
- 27-MH-PR-23-1241
- 27-MH-PR-23-1461
- 27-MH-PR-24-9

- All civil commitment, and criminal cases assigned to or influenced by Judge Julia Dayton Klein, Referee George Borer, and Referee (now *Judge*) Danielle Mercurio throughout the entirety of their judicial history within this court involving Rule 20.01 orders / examinations / determinations resulting in involuntary commitment or forced medication of the defendants / respondents of those cases

- ALL currently active, and ongoing cases records within this Court where a criminal defendant has been determined as 'incompetent to proceed' in order to identify which cases involve the defendant actively contesting this determination at any point during their case – and further investigations into all of the identified cases

- Every single criminal, and mental health case where a decision made by Judge Julia Dayton-Klein resulted in a criminal defendant becoming a civil respondent. This should involve a complete review of these complete case histories across both the criminal and civil docket – conduct interviews with the individual, and all others involed in the case in instances where procedural anomalies, documented contention against incompetency by the respondent, out of sequence docket index's, rulings on non-existent motions, unexplainable and/or unusual orders made for competency determinations that fall outside the bounds of 'standard protocol' based on the rules of this Court, etc. The goal should be making sure that all of the defendant's deemed incompetent actually are.

- All cases containing fabricated service filings, missing docket indices, orders marked "Held Off the Record", orders made on non-existent motions, repeated cancelations and recheduling taking place without logical explanations in the case history, out of sequence index's, missing PDF files for public filings which should contain one based on the standard protocol and rules of the court.

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

- Identification of all cases where judicial assignment that matches the procedural loop patterns documented in Exhibits F and G
- Any psychiatric determination citing “delusions” or “psychosis” related to verifiable facts later proven true

It is critical to state: I do not believe these three judicial officers acted alone.

Rather, these are the individuals I focused on because they controlled every procedural and judicial milestone in my case. The data I compiled filtered for them by design, because that is where the evidentiary trail led. The broader system may include clerks, evaluators, court administrators, or other judicial officers whose participation has not yet been fully exposed.

2. The Objective Being to Determine (but not be limited to):

- How many people were wrongly committed or forcibly medicated under this containment protocol
- Whether fabricated or AI-simulated filings were used to disappear real individuals under the cover of a simulated caseload
- What entities within the court, county, or law enforcement systems facilitated or ignored these irregularities
- Whether a parallel psychiatric suppression track has been operating under color of law with no external oversight

This investigation is not just about justice for me.

It is a moral imperative to identify and liberate anyone else who may still be imprisoned - legally, digitally, and chemically - inside a system that was never designed to treat them, only to *erase* them.

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

IX. FINAL DECLARATION AND AFFIRMATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

What has been presented here is not just a legal affidavit - it is a direct confrontation with a synthetic judicial system that has been operating under the protection of procedural opacity and digital illusion.

Everything I have described, exposed, and submitted as evidence exhibits is verifiable.

None of it is hypothetical.

None of it is deniable without implicating those who attempt to deny it.

I did not ask to discover this.

But once I did, I realized exactly what had almost happened to me.

They tried to eliminate me using psychiatry.

They tried to contain me inside a system that does not exist.

They tried to discredit me using AI-generated evidence.

And when I proved all of it, they tried to disappear me with a hidden commitment hearing.

If I had not discovered the February 1, 2024 hearing on my own - if I had not filed my civil rights case when I did - then this affidavit would not exist.

Because I would not exist as a participant in the system anymore.

I would've been eliminated digitally, procedurally, psychotropically, and *literally* under the guise of public safety.

How many others already have been?

This document now exists to break that silence.

It is my testimony, my forensic record, my survival account - and my legal weapon.

I am not asking for the court's permission to say these things.

I am saying them into the record as fact.

And as such:

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
4/28/2025 10:20 AM

I, Matthew David Guertin, under penalty of perjury, declare that the statements made in this affidavit and all attached exhibits are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, based on firsthand experience, forensic analysis, and the documented public record.

Executed on this 28th day of April, during 2025

In the jurisdiction of Hennepin County, Minnesota

Signed under oath and filed by myself, the Defendant in case 27-CR-23-1886

Dated: April 28, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew D. Guertin

Matthew David Guertin
Defendant Pro Se
4385 Trenton Ln. N 202
Plymouth, MN 55442
Telephone: 763-221-4540
MattGuertin@protonmail.com
www.MattGuertin.com