Matthew David Guertin / Preliminary Introduction and discussion of facts

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

BCC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Monday, February 13th, 2023 at 4:59 PM

Jill,

It was nice to speak with you on the phone the other day and I am looking forward to our meeting on March 1st.

In what I am sure will be one of many follow-up emails leading up to our meeting I would like to provide you with an initial, short introduction to myself and what I have been up to over the past 15 years as well as a little bit of information about what I currently have taking place in my life in regards to my patent application - the one I filed on March 19th, 2021 and which will officially no longer be an 'application' beginning tomorrow (v-day) as that is when it officially grants - tomorrow is also when my patent will be filed as prior art against this patent here which was invented by Stephan Trojansky and first filed on March 31, 2021.....12 days later than my application.

It's not an exaggeration to say that the situation I have currently found myself in is similar to wining the lottery. I have had patent attorneys tell me this statement is an accurate depiction of my situation as well as I essentially invalidated ('shredded'...made worthless..) not only the most critical parts of the Trojansky application but many of the additional elements included in his application as well. Not only did I cover the core element of the rotating treadmill with the very broad claims I am being granted tomorrow but I also mention in my disclosure a multi-camera photogrammetry rig, emerging photogrammetry technology (which will be able to cover 'neural radiance fields' or 'nerf' which is what I believe Netflix is working on currently, I mention the creation of a 'digital twin', I mention the user wearing sensors, I specifically mention a 'user cue system' multiple times, and on and on and on.....once my patent gets handed in as prior art against the Trojansky application (which moving forward I will simply refer to as 'The Netflix patent' as that is who acquired Trojansky's company 'Scanline VFX' for at least 100 million dollars 8 months after he filed his provisional application based on press releases as well as Q12022 Netflix, Inc. Investors report - page 5) it means that they will NEED my patent if they want to be made whole and be able to obtain Intellectual property rights for that which so far they have easily invested a quarter billion dollars into but more likely it is much closer to a half billion dollars.

It is currently my intention to take advantage of the unique situation I am in and outright sell my entire company, InfiniSet, Inc. (a Delaware c-corp which I am the CEO of and which is also the company my patent is assigned to upon its issuance tomorrow), collect my money, and exit stage right. That is especially my intention after all of the crazy shit that has been going on ever since I discovered the Netflix patent - which I only stumbled upon after searching for PhotoRobot to see if they had any patents granted for their 'Virtual Catwalk' which is the product they have been pouring a massive amount of money, time, and effort into fraudulently positioning against my patent - It is when I was sent an email response by the CEO of Mark Roberts Motion Control, Assaff Rawner the first week of November that all of this began....and by 'all of this' I do in fact mean 'ALL OF IT' which would include not only stumbling upon the Netflix patent (which is so technically written that I had to read it seven times before I realized it was the exact same thing as my patent application) by sheer luck but also my trip down the ultimate rabbit hole as I completely diverted my attention to focus on investigating that which I had the most to lose if I had chosen not to investigate it as it was and still is very obvious that whatever was/is going on is solely for the purpose for trying to fraudulently steal something I have dedicated the last two years of my life bringing to fruition. At the very least they are setting themselves up to be able to steal my patent by being able to build and use it without being found guilty of infringement by creating a false history that would lead one to believe that they have always been heading in a specific and 'obvious' direction which was only established after I shared my patent application with Mark Roberts Motion Control in an email. This 'false history' includes my discovery that the Wayback Machine / Internet Archive was being fraudulently edited with backdated copies of recently created pages, and ultimately I ended up realizing that it involves multiple websites and was obviously being accomplished using chatGPT and/or other sophisticated AI tools (I even go through the fraudulent All written pages at the end of that video - apparently one of the authors - Samantha McDonald was able to write at least 2500 articles which were all dated in the same time range near the end of 2020 as you can clearly see in the video.....hint.....those people in the fake Zoom call are also fake - as in completely generated by AI which would include their vocals as well as the subject matter they are discussing...just pay close attention...especially to the repeated use of 'experience' and 'experiential' over and over and over.... will get more into how we logically end up at footwear in follow up emails though) that the general public have been lead to believe do not currently exist. At the very worst what I was investigating and documenting could lead to my patent being challenged and possibly invalidated - which is why it has now turned out to be the 100% correct move on my part to choose to investigate and collect as much evidence as I did. I have close to 100gb of digital forensic evidence I collected in the form of dowloaded full web pages from the archive among others in which I was ultimately able to process and make sense of to the point of being able to lay all of it out in very clear and easy to understand spreadsheets which proved that the internet archive was 100% in fact being fraudulently edited just based on the statistical/mathematical probability of having the same pattern occur over and over for each group of archived pages I collected (I went on a manual collection run where I downloaded every single archived webpage for 61 of the 89 total blog pages archived for PhotoRobot dot com) and I was able to clearly identify an anomalous pattern that points to clear fraud by copying recently published pages and

pasting them into the past. I then was able to further support this when I discovered a code that allowed me to download every single page ever archived for PhotoRobot dot com in which I discovered that there were a bunch of WARC files being served which relate specifically to updating duplicate pages (although I will admit I still do not fully understand the general protocol - I do know that it can be considered a 'container file' though - much the same way a .zip file is and it's purpose has to do specifically with updating duplicate pages in an easy to implement 'file dump' of sorts. It was when I was finally able to prove beyond any reasonable doubt that there was in fact fraud taking place that I began trying to alert authorities to what I had discovered. I'm not sure if you are fully aware of exactly 'what' the internet archive is but all you have to know is that it is considered a legit form of court admissible evidence - at least I know it is for the USPTO as it has been cited numerous times in cases - so just the fact that I had been able to collect and establish this proof of fraud at the internet archive by itself is a very big deal and one which I believed put my safety at risk as if this information were to become public it would mean that any cases which involved or heavily relied upon information from the Internet Archive (a non-profit 501c3..) would be able to be re-opened and reexamined which could have massive ramifications involving an untold (but I am sure very large...) amount of money. It is my opinion that there is a system in place at the archive to commit the fraudulent editing I have been able to prove and that this has almost certainly been carried out before.

As you have probably ascertained by now I am not your average client and I have a very unique set of circumstances surrounding the criminal charges responsible for bringing us together. It is my personal opinion based on your profession and education that I am being required to sit down with you so that you can 'classify' me as something...meaning that your ultimate goal I believe is to place some sort of universally understood label on me for the purpose of blaming some sort of mental 'disability' or defect upon me which could then be used to explain the incident that happened at my apartment by blaming it solely on me instead of actually considering the crazy and insane set of circumstances which lead up to the extreme decision of me firing a gun off to essentially 'call the police' as seeming completely logical considering the situation I had suddenly found myself in. To be clear I am not in any way trying to minimize the illegal and obviously 'extreme' nature of what I did nor am I trying to make any assumptions or disrespect you/ your education /career /etc. That is definitely not how I would want to come across before even having a chance to meet you in person - I am simply trying to be very clear and upfront with you about what my current thoughts are in general surrounding everything. Basically these are my personal thoughts and opinions and should be considered only that and nothing more.

I will get into more of this in follow up emails as I mentioned previously as it is quite a bit to unpack - even for me who was/is the one caught in the middle of all of it. It is definitely something that will need to be introduced and documented in multiple parts as I could spend a couple days trying to write all of it down chronologically and lay everything out - which I assure you is what I am ultimately going to accomplish and which will make the actions I took at my apartment seem logical or at the very least it will become very clear to everyone (including perhaps a jury of my peers..) that I was put under so much stress that it would make sense that I could've 'cracked' and basically

been launched into a fight or flight state where I was genuinely in fear for my life and my safety as everything involving the AI aspect of the 'operation' being carried out against me as well as many strange coincidences all became dots which I was connecting in my head at a faster and faster pace as everything became crystal clear to me - all of which lead to a bad ending for me as far as I was concerned.

I will leave you with a simple question to ask yourself - "What on earth would cause someone who has been traveling the world and accomplishing some of the most amazing technological, engineering, and programming feats since 2014 after essentially traveling to LA with his entire life in a trailer behind him and who now has now moved back home after covid only to continue accomplishing projects which have gained him a massive amount of attention, including winning awards for my 3D photogrammetry Chicago scan, and who then somehow was able to top all of the projects he worked on in LA and all over the world by inventing, patenting and then proceeding to design, engineer, and fabricate a device and system in his living room which can only be described as a complete paradigm shift in the way movies and film will produced going forward, and which he was/is only days away from being able to record a 'proof of concept' video for just as he is also finally going to officially be granted a patent for to suddenly decide that shooting a gun out of his window to alert police makes logical sense?"

And that is the question.....

BUT - I actually have one more question for you which is much less subjective than the previous one I posed to you - that being the following:

I am assuming the interview I conduct with you will be at the very least audio recorded and I would like to check and make sure that it is not a problem if I also record the audio of our interview when it is conducted?

As I mentioned previously this is not just a criminal matter as far as I am concerned but also one which involves my corporation, my patent, my reputation as a CEO, extremely large sums of money, etc, etc and so it is my intention to be sure that I protect myself and my interests by all means possible which is why it seems logical to me that I would also be able to obtain my own personal audio recording of our meeting as well.

I look forward to my continued correspondence with you leading up to our meeting on the 1st.

Sincerely,

Matt Guertin Inventor / Founder / CEO InfiniSet, Inc. Minneapolis, MN 763-221-4540

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

RE: [EXTERNAL] Matthew David Guertin / Preliminary Introduction and discussion of facts

From jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us < Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us >

To mattguertin<MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

Date Tuesday, February 14th, 2023 at 4:16 PM

Good afternoon, Mr. Guertin:

I appreciate the effort and time you spent constructing your message to me. I want to assure you that this information is definitely relevant, and you will be asked questions and given the chance to discuss these themes during our interview appointment. However, your message suggested that you plan to send more emails like this, and I would respectfully ask that you not. This request is not because I do not want to discuss these matters; rather, I ask that because email is not the best forum in which to have a productive discussion of these issues. You have given me an introduction to some of the matters you referenced on the phone, and I want to emphasize that you will have the opportunity to discuss them during the March 1 interview. However, it is much more conducive to the evaluation process to have these conversations in real time. Please feel free to bring any documentation with you to the appointment. If it would be difficult to bring physical copies of certain things, this is something we can discuss at the appointment to figure out the best way to proceed.

At the March 1 interview appointment, we will discuss many of the points you brought up, including the purpose and parameters of the evaluation, as well as my role in the proceedings. You will also have the opportunity to ask any questions you may have at that time. I do want to take the time to answer one question you mentioned in your message, however, with regard to audio recording. You are **NOT** permitted to audio record our interview session on March 1. There are a number of reasons for this, which I am happy to discuss with you at the March 1 appointment. Importantly, the Hennepin County District Court also prohibits the use of any recording devices in courtroom areas.

I look forward to speaking with you further on March 1.

Jill E. Rogstad, Ph.D., LP, ABPP (Forensic)

Senior Clinical Forensic Psychologist

Board Certified in Forensic Psychology, American Board of Professional Psychology

(she/her/hers)

Fourth Judicial District and Regional Psychological Services

Phone: (612) 394-0937

Re: [EXTERNAL] Matthew David Guertin / Preliminary Introduction and discussion of facts

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us<Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us>

Date Tuesday, February 14th, 2023 at 9:40 PM

Jill,

Regarding the other methods of being able to share information at the meeting vs. before the meeting in the form of emails:

Would one of those options include an hdmi cable and a monitor?

~Matt

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

RE: [EXTERNAL] Matthew David Guertin / Preliminary Introduction and discussion of facts

From jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us < Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us >

To mattguertin<MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

Date Wednesday, February 15th, 2023 at 4:40 PM

Good afternoon, Mr. Guertin:

I'm afraid I cannot provide any materials to you. However, if you have a device (e.g., phone, tablet, or laptop), I believe the Government Center has public Wifi you can access. We can discuss further what makes the most sense at the appointment on March 1, but please feel free to bring any of those devices as well as paper documentation.

Best regards,

Jill E. Rogstad, Ph.D., LP, ABPP (Forensic)

Senior Clinical Forensic Psychologist

Board Certified in Forensic Psychology, American Board of Professional Psychology

(she/her/hers)

Fourth Judicial District and Regional Psychological Services

Phone: (612) 394-0937

Re: [EXTERNAL] Matthew David Guertin / Preliminary Introduction and discussion of facts

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us<Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us>

BCC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Wednessday, February 15th, 2023 at 6:11 PM

Jill,

This sounds good / reasonable. Thank you.

One of the other things then which I am wondering then however is the following - which is essentially the same exact question asked in a variety of ways for clarity's sake:

Will you be audio and video documenting our meeting?

If I bring a laptop / device to share with you that which pertains to the topics you would like to discuss with me will my 'presentation' of digital information also be clearly documented in a way where if our meeting was reviewed at a later date for some reason that whoever is viewing it would be able to see, hear, and clearly identify that which I am sharing with you on said device?

In regards to any audio or video recording of our meeting that you and the county obtain from our meeting is there some kind of standard/ protocol/procedure regarding where that data is archived, who can access it and under what circumstances, etc, etc. What is the normal procedure basically?

I know that you are presented as a 'neutral' or 'objective' opinion for all intents and purposes. Based upon the fact that you are a PHD, have published papers, appear in various case law discussions in addition to the most obvious of them all which would be your current position as a clinical forensic psychologist it is obvious to me that you must be very good at what you do as a lot of people trust your opinion. So with all of that said I believe what would be a more unlikely case is if you didn't have a natural bias which weighs in favor of the state and ultimately the prosecution since that's basically your employer. I'm not talking about corruption or intentional deceit, etc... rather I am just discussing simple human nature as far as most people who are happily employed developing a healthy and natural sense of loyalty to those that employ them even if that that loyalty (bias) is on a subconscious level. To get to the point of my bringing this up what I am wondering then is how exactly does mine and yours relationship defer from that of an ordinary

doctor & patient / doctor & client relationship in regards to HIPAA laws...and particularly those that are enforced in MN?

What is any changes/differences are there as opposed to if you were a privately practicing psychologist and I came to your office and said I'd like to pay you your required fee to have you perform a psychological analysis on me for X and Y reasons?

Is the attached PDF that I've read through and took the time to highlight still considered to be 'current' based on your knowledge?

If these HIPAA laws are still current I am assuming that even if I am unable to personally record any audio or video of our meeting on my own that I would still be able to request and obtain the audio and video you record of the meeting as it would ultimately be considered 'doctors notes' correct? If I am wrong please feel free to provide me with the supporting documents which clearly state this so I can lay it to rest as an issue of mine.

Thank you,

Matthew Guertin Inventor / Founder / CEO InfiniSet, Inc. Minneapolis, MN 763--221-4540

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

698.32 KB 1 file attached

psychotherapy.pdf 698.32 KB

RE: [EXTERNAL] Matthew David Guertin / Preliminary Introduction and discussion of facts

From jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us < Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us >

To mattguertin<MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

Date Friday, February 17th, 2023 at 2:50 PM

Hi Mr. Guertin,

As to your question about recordings, no, Psychological Services does not audio or video record any of the evaluations the psychologists do, nor do we allow examinees to produce any such recordings. Again, there are a number of reasons for this, which I'm happy to review on March 1. The remainder of your questions will also all be addressed at the outset of the interview on March 1, before you are asked any questions. At that time, the parameters of the evaluation will be reviewed and discussed, including my role and the differences between this kind of court-ordered evaluation and encounters you might have with a psychologist in other settings. You will also be given time to ask any questions you have that may not have been covered during this process. I am happy to discuss these issues at that time. I am going to stop responding to emails at this time, not to be rude, but because the appointment we have scheduled for March 1 is the more appropriate forum to discuss these matters. Please feel free to bring any questions with you on that date.

Thank you, and I look forward to speaking with you on March 1.

Best regards,

Jill E. Rogstad, Ph.D., LP, ABPP (Forensic)

Senior Clinical Forensic Psychologist

Board Certified in Forensic Psychology, American Board of Professional Psychology

(she/her/hers)

Fourth Judicial District and Regional Psychological Services

Phone: (612) 394-0937

Matthew Guertin / Language Analysis Matrix

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Thursday, March 2nd, 2023 at 9:44 PM

Jill,

Per our meeting the other day here is a copy of the language matrix I created using MAXQDA which I had mentioned during our disucssion, but which is too high resolution to have printed out and include with the stack of supporting documents I provided you with.

It is attached. The columns are blog articles from PhotoRobot dot com with the most recent url's/ articles on the left hand side and all of the rows are words. The numbers in the matrix and the corresponding colors correlate with the use count as far as how many times a particular word or combination of words was used in each blog post.

This still has the original creation date of December 12th, 2022 which further supports the information I relayed to you about how I had caught on to the fraud taking place early on and had been investigating it for a while leading up to the incident that took place at my apartment resulting in the criminal charges I am currently facing.

It was very nice to meet you and I appreciate you taking the time to listen.

Thanks again,

Matthew Guertin

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

359.24 KB 1 file attached

Matrix.png 359.24 KB

Re: Matthew Guertin / Language Analysis Matrix

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Friday, March 3rd, 2023 at 12:04 AM

Included here is a copy of an email correspondence between me and my patent attorney discussing the fraud taking place (attached)

The Police report that I filed with the Mntka PD 9 days before the incident at my apartment (attached)

And my automated signup email from the Internet Archive when I signed up for my account 'PatentlyFalse' (attached)

I will follow up with two additional emails - each containing one of the originally dated PDF screen captures I made of the web archives archived (supposedly) version of PhotoRobot.com/blog.

I have to include in two separate emails as they are each 13mb.

That is everything I will send you...meaning I am not going to keep sending any additional documents or files after the two emails following this one but I figured it wouldn't hurt to include a few more additional pieces of the puzzle which help substantiate the stuff discussed in our meeting.

Thanks again!

~Matthew Guertin

Sent with **Proton Mail** secure email.

21.66 MB 3 files attached

Email_correspondence_with_IP_attorney_discussing_fraud.pdf 10.19 MB

WaybackMachine_signup_email__12_09_2023__02_45.pdf 3.26 MB

Mntka PD Police Report 23-000151 1 12 2023 14 02.pdf 8.20 MB

Re: Matthew Guertin / Language Analysis Matrix

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Friday, March 3rd, 2023 at 12:07 AM

First screen capture of archived page

with a 'last modified' date of December 9th, 2022 @ 4:49am - the modification was me adding the wayback url to the top of each of the pages - right click and look at the document properties for additional time and date metadata information.

(attached)

Sent with **Proton Mail** secure email.

13.53 MB 1 file attached

screencapture-web-archive-org-web-20220811043707-https-www-photorobot-com-blog...45.pdf 13.53 MB

Re: Matthew Guertin / Language Analysis Matrix

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Friday, March 3rd, 2023 at 12:14 AM

Second screen capture of archived page - taken a few minutes later

with a 'last modified' date of December 9th, 2022 @ 4:51am

Pay close attention to the top left and top right of the Wayback Machine date bar header at the top of the pages. See if you notice anything changing....

I included an edited PDF I made pointing out what is happening which also shows the document properties tab opened

(attached)

And that is everything!

Thanks again!

~Matt

Sent with **Proton Mail** secure email.

17.45 MB 2 files attached

screencapture-web-archive-org-web-20221209090542-https-www-photorobot-com-blog...19.pdf 13.82 MB

Show and tell.pdf 3.63 MB

RE: [EXTERNAL] Re: Matthew Guertin / Language Analysis Matrix

From jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us < Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us >

To mattguertin<MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Tuesday, March 7th, 2023 at 10:45 AM

Thank you, Mr. Guertin. I wanted to confirm receipt of four emails with the attachments.

Best regards,

Jill E. Rogstad, Ph.D., LP, ABPP (Forensic)

Senior Clinical Forensic Psychologist

Board Certified in Forensic Psychology, American Board of Professional Psychology

(she/her/hers)

Fourth Judicial District and Regional Psychological Services

Phone: (612) 394-0937

Need help overcoming these very realistic "psychotic delusions" I still seem to be experiencing...

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Wednesday, April 26th, 2023 at 12:37 PM

Dr. Rogstad,

Good afternoon

It would appear as though one or more of the potential mental disorders you diagnosed me as possibly having is starting to affect other people now as well.

https://youtu.be/V6 jCGzR020

Is the whole world going mad now?

I've also been struggling quite a bit since our meeting as I attempt to better understand and come to grips with the fact that you convinced me beyond any reasonable doubt that I'm absolutely not "an engineer" and that the many "perceived" achievements I mentioned to you in our meeting (as a way of providing you with better context) were in fact just very realistic distortions of reality caused by one or more of the many mental deficiencies you were able to so quickly identify me as potentially suffering from. (thanks again for really taking the time and putting in the effort to get to know me so well)

The reason for my 'struggles' however isn't because I'm in any disagreement with you or what you are saying - I know how highly educated you are Dr. Rogstad.... so that is why I'm wondering if you might be able to help me at least identify what it is called when a formerly schizophrenic and delusional person like myself is finally able to turn the corner and become more reality based, truthful, introspective, and accepting of my true and actual reality while at the same time being tempted to return to my old ways due to the fact that I am constantly surrounded by a plethora of evidence which causes me to view my 'delusions' as instead being factual, reality based events that seemed like they were 100% real and actually happened?

For example I just came across this online article in which the following is stated:

"the set piece was **engineered** and built in-house at XiteLabs studio by **creative technologist Matt Guertin**. LED tiles from PRG were dismantled into smaller component modules, custom configured and re-wired by Guertin at XiteLabs to create smaller radius circular elements to create the smooth edges of the eye. **Guertin designed and engineered** 420 metal parts cut out of eight different types of material, as well as 268 individual acrylic pieces from six different materials. The estimated total number of soldering points was in the 2,000 range."

https://plsn.com/articles/video-trends/xitelabs-steps-up-and-out/

As another example of the conflicted version of reality I currently reside in - here is another one which appears to be some kind of technology based company in which I'm actually credited as some sort of "engineer" again..... I just don't get it. It seems so crazy and unlikely that someone as mentally ill as I am who is completely detached from reality in such a profound way keeps getting mistakenly put into magazines and online publications as a way of showcasing just some of my many 'perceived' achievments - All of them being ones which are so realistic they seem to have persisted ever since I remember imagining them happening? It is very strange.

"Falcon Design, Fabrication Direction and **Engineering**: Matt Guertin"

https://blacktrax.cast-soft.com/showcase/tracking-a-50ft-falcon-with-xitelabs/

And then there's this one which also appears to be more weird computer stuff of some kind but maybe it just looks technical on purpose so they can charge more money? ? Either way it's way over my head and I have no clue who is doing this or what their reasoning would be for continuing to fraudulently post articles about me in an attempt to make me look good and trick people into believing I actually might be as intelligent as I claimed during our meeting.

"Matt Guertin - Touch Designer system design"

https://www.disguise.one/en/insights/case-studies/la-philharmonic/

One of these weird technical/software websites even put me on the front page of their website in 2014 supposedly..? How the heck can that be true?? It just sounds so crazy...delusional even

"It is with great enthusiasm that we publish Matt Guertin's chronicle on the building of his ever-expanding project which will not be finished for some time if our last email exchange concerning the release of Oculus Rift's second developer kit is an indicator of things to come."

https://derivative.ca/community-post/matt-guertins-epic-never-ending-oculus-rift-kinect-touchdesigner-3d-project/60736

Apparently this weird 'Touchdesigner' software was created by and still ran by Greg Hermanovick who also created SideFX Software and its flagship 'Houdini' software which is one of the most used and successful visual fx softwares for the film and entertainment industry (used by the likes of Netflix, Disney, Universal Studios, etc.)

Are you starting to see how I might still have some lingering confusion and uncertainty even as I work on fixing myself?

..to make matters even more confounding I even had I<u>sabelle Rousette(</u>who wrote that Touchdesigner article about me in 2014 and still works for the company) reply with the following message when she first learned of my patent via fbook messenger.

"extremely impressive and practical product. it should REVOLUTIONIZE THE INDUSTRY." Images are

linked here - also attached

And that's the problem Dr. Rogstad.

I know that none of this stuff is real... you told me so yourself and I know you're one of the best forensic psychologists ever...I mean I knew that right away just based on our first email interaction.... So all I'm left with is what I would describe as very pronounced and persistent distortions of reality which are so vivid and clear that I'm 99.999% sure that they are memories as opposed to delusions... that's what it feels like anyways...but then again I am also entirely aware that nobody actually knows me better than you do - hence my reason for seeking your advice

One of the main substantiating points for your belief that I am 'Schizophrenic and/or possibly Psychotic" and should be committed to a psychiatric ward and placed on powerful antipsychotic

drugs until I'm "well" and "competent" (at least enough so that I'm able to understand the charges against me and aid in my own defense...since I am obviously not capable of doing that at all....) was that I have these 'persecutory' delusional beliefs of having invented something so big that it's going to "revolutionize" the industry....and which I somehow believe is so big that companies like Microsoft and Netflix would actually know my name and who I am (obviously crazy...I know this because you told me so)....I like to pretend I know how to build things.....I tell people I'm an "unlicensed engineer" just to make myself feel better about how little I've actually accomplished in my life, etc, etc - and then to really top it all off you still got this website of mine www.MattGuertin.com in which I went so far as to actually organize my delusional experiences into many different pages due to the false perception that I actually accomplished something that real people based in reality would actually care about and want to look at. I mean how crazy is that? Right?

If you have any advice which could help me to better deal with and overcome the struggle I'm experiencing as I attempt to connect to a more subdued and authentic version of 'reality' which better aligns with yours it would be very appreciated.

What do I have to do exactly to pretend that none of the real and factual evidence I have even exists at all so I can just go along to get along and not be so abrasive?

If I were to be placed on enough powerful antipsychotic drugs for a long enough time period is there a possibility at some point that I just won't even remember any of this so it won't matter anyways?

Or am I just overthinking this and the powerful 'anti-psychotics' you recommended will make me feel so good that I will not care about anything at all as long as I can have an extra graham cracker and juicebox during afternoon group?

You are the **BEST** Dr. Rogstad!

Thank you again!

Sincerely, Matthew Guertin

PS - I also attached proof of the "special ops gear" which I spoke of in our meeting - here is a link for that as well -

Apparently it is manufactured by this <u>company in Israel</u> that manufactureswait for it......SPECIAL OPS GEAR! whowouldvethought!?

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

19.33 MB 12 files attached

InkedScreenshot_20230426-101243_Messenger.jpg 402.25 KB

Inked20220108_1659422.jpg 238.38 KB

ODF_Optronics.jpg 295.89 KB

ODF_Company.jpg 464.39 KB

20220108_162218.jpg 2.46 MB

20220108_165950.jpg 3.05 MB

Inked20220108_165942.jpg 3.47 MB

20220108_165942.jpg 6.42 MB

<u>Screenshot_20230423-193622_Brave.jpg</u> 230.46 KB

20220602_070235.jpg 1.71 MB

<u>Screenshot_20230426-101247_Messenger.jpg</u> 148.98 KB

Screenshot_20230426-101358_Messenger.jpg 481.81 KB

Re: Need help overcoming these very realistic "psychotic delusions" I still seem to be experiencing...

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Wednesday, April 26th, 2023 at 12:56 PM

Do you think the executives at Netflix thought these certified mailers which contained my patent were even real when they received them?

WAIT!

Why I am sending certified mailers to anyone at Netflix?

I don't have anything to do with them and to even mention that I do is obviously delusional....

That is all. Good day!

Sent with **Proton Mail** secure email.

17.79 MB 3 files attached

Certified_Mailer_Images_3.pdf 17.23 MB

Netflix 3rd Party Filing Form ACCEPTED BY USPTO ... PDF 135.56 KB

Screencap from USPTO EFS Web .pdf 445.47 KB

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Monday, May 1st, 2023 at 2:45 PM

Good afternoon Jill,

Hope you are doing fantastic!

I've discovered some pretty damning evidence that presents a rather large problem insofar as the report you wrote about me which claims I'm psychotic and delusional.

It's a rather large 'conspiracy' if you logically connect all of the dots of how this would be allowed to take place and how many different multi-national corporations are involved and allowing Microsoft to run rampant with their new AI toys.

I would like for you to do me a favor Jill. Go back and read the email between me and the CEO of Mark Robert's Motion Control I sent you and take notice of the fact that there was a three week span before Assaff Rawner actually ended up replying to my email - the one in which he pointed me to PhotoRobot and said "hey... your invention already exists!" (paraphrasing)

And now realize that what he pointed me to is all complete fraud....as in the entire company is an AI generated fabrication. The videos, their websites, the other websites (the ones I wrote on my wall....), etc. IT'S ALL FAKE

which means I'm not delusional or psychotic Jill. Sorry.

All of the images from their websites are also Al generated.

And for the record I still believe that my safety is at risk due to how many different parties are involved in this and I would like for it to be known that I'm doing perfectly well and happy with life (besides having to deal with this shit...) and I have never contemplated suicide and wouldn't do that. This is obviously all very crazy (dare I say perhaps bordering on "psychotic like crazy"...?) and I think it's not unreasonable why I would be worried about my safety.

I look forward to my competency hearing.

Also let it be known that I intend on attending my hearing IN PERSON and not over Zoom... which I think should be fully understandable considering the circumstances.

That is all. What will follow is further emails which contain proof of my claims.

Thanks again for your services Jill.

Sincerely,

Matthew Guertin

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

20.52 MB 5 files attached

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} 1_1.pdf & 1.06 \text{ MB} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 1_2.pdf & 2.45 \text{ MB} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 1_3.pdf & 3.72 \text{ MB} \end{array}\right)$

 $\underline{\mathsf{AI_CHECKERBOARD_ARTIFACTS_ATSIP.2019.2.pdf}}\,\mathtt{1.77}\,\,\mathsf{MB}$

<u>Kyle_McDonald__How_To_Recognize_fake_Al_generated_images.pdf</u> 11.52 MB

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Monday, May 1st, 2023 at 2:46 PM

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

21.27 MB 5 files attached

```
\left( \underbrace{\mathbf{1\_1\text{-}2.pdf}} \text{ 3.51 MB} \right) \left( \underbrace{\mathbf{1\_1\text{-}3.pdf}} \text{ 4.77 MB} \right) \left( \underbrace{\mathbf{1\_4.pdf}} \text{ 3.47 MB} \right) \left( \underbrace{\mathbf{1\_5.pdf}} \text{ 4.68 MB} \right) \left( \underbrace{\mathbf{1\_6.pdf}} \text{ 4.84 MB} \right)
```

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Monday, May 1st, 2023 at 2:47 PM

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

23.31 MB 7 files attached

 1_1-4.pdf
 4.52 MB
 1_1-5.pdf
 5.73 MB
 1_1-6.pdf
 5.89 MB
 2_1.pdf
 1.02 MB
 2_2.pdf
 1.98 MB

 2_3.pdf
 1.55 MB
 2_4.pdf
 2.61 MB

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Monday, May 1st, 2023 at 2:48 PM

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

21.23 MB 7 files attached

 $\left[\begin{array}{c} 2_{1-2.pdf} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 2_{1-3.pdf} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 2_{1-4.pdf} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 2_{1-5.pdf} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 2_{1-5.pdf} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 2_{5.pdf} \end{array}$

2_6.pdf 1.74 MB | 2_7.pdf 3.88 MB

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Monday, May 1st, 2023 at 2:51 PM

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

17.54 MB 3 files attached

3_1-3.pdf 5.99 MB

3_6.pdf 4.70 MB

3_7.pdf 6.85 MB

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Monday, May 1st, 2023 at 2:53 PM

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

23.70 MB 3 files attached

```
\left(\frac{3\_1-4.pdf}{8.97 \text{ MB}}\right)\left(\frac{3\_1-5.pdf}{8.13 \text{ MB}}\right)\left(\frac{3\_1-6.pdf}{6.59 \text{ MB}}\right)
```

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Monday, May 1st, 2023 at 2:50 PM

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

18.01 MB 5 files attached

2_1-6.pdf 2.75 MB 2_1-7.pdf 4.89 MB

3_1.pdf 1.89 MB

3_2.pdf 4.36 MB

3_3.pdf 4.10 MB

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Monday, May 1st, 2023 at 2:50 PM

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

18.03 MB 3 files attached

3_4.pdf 7.08 MB

3_5.pdf 6.24 MB

3_6.pdf 4.70 MB

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Monday, May 1st, 2023 at 3:02 PM

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

10.29 MB 1 file attached

PhotoRobot_Checkerboard_Artifacts_Examined.pdf 10.29 MB

RE: [EXTERNAL] Show this to the judge and prosecutor please

From jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us < Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us >

To mattguertin<MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Monday, May 1st, 2023 at 3:06 PM

Good afternoon, Mr. Guertin:

I have received a number of email messages from you within the past week. I am responding to direct you to please stop sending me messages. I will not respond to any further communication. As was explained at the time of the interview, my role within the proceedings is very limited. It is to provide an opinion to the Court, not show any documents or materials to any of the other parties. I would refer you to your attorney to determine how best to handle the materials you sent. Your attorney is also the appropriate person to communicate with the Court and myself about these matters in advance of the upcoming hearing.

Best regards,

Jill E. Rogstad, Ph.D., LP, ABPP (Forensic)

Senior Clinical Forensic Psychologist

Board Certified in Forensic Psychology, American Board of Professional Psychology

(she/her/hers)

Fourth Judicial District and Regional Psychological Services

Phone: (612) 394-0937

Should you receive correspondence from me after business hours or while you are out of the office, please protect your time and wait to respond until you are next working. Please note I do not regularly check emails on evenings, weekends, or holidays.

Re: [EXTERNAL] Show this to the judge and prosecutor please

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us<Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us>

CC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Tuesday, May 1st, 2023 at 6:37 PM

Well make sure you mention these 'unsolicited emails' at the hearing and talk about how much sense they don't make as you move forward with a report that's obviously false and an egregious misrepresentation of the meeting we had and the prior information I shared with you which you were so quick to discount.

I don't know if you've analyzed your own report but there are many different points where you specifically discuss me asking relevant questions and you make it very clear that I am obviously competent but you then go on to say that the reason I'm 'incompetent' is because of your own personal opinions of the evidence I have.

You use words like 'meandering' to describe very clear and relevant information I provided you with in regards to the police report I filed 9 days before the incident for example.

You never make any mention at all about the fact that there were other events involved leading up to the incident at my apartment such as when you asked me "when did you first think your safety was at risk?" to which I replied and told you about my discovery of the fraud taking place at the internet archive on December 24th.

If I didn't know any better I'd say you are intentionally misrepresenting the facts as opposed to just not understanding what I believe is very clear and coherent information I provided you with.

I sent you emails before our meeting and you reply and tell me that isn't the appropriate forum and so I oblige only to have you completely twist up and misrepresent pretty much everything we discussed. I see what you did there...

I will respect your wishes and not send you any more emails.

Thanks for your time and sorry for bothering you.

~Matt Guertin

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

The Matt Guertin Show

From mattguertin < MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us

BCC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>

Date Monday, September 25th, 2023 at 8:51 AM

Hello Jill!:-)

I won't forget to include you in the show as well.

https://mattguertin.substack.com/p/infiniset-ceo-finally-tries-chatgpt https://mattguertin.substack.com/p/dear-eyeline-studios-netflix

I am getting a crazy amount of views so you are sure to receive plenty of praise for your honest, truthful, objective, and precision diagnosis skill set.

All I did was feed chatGPT various inputs of information regarding my patent, current situation, etc. and it arrived at this conclusion all on its own....crazy huh?

Background: InfiniSet, Inc. and its CEO, Matthew Guertin, seem to be working towards establishing a revolutionary technology, which has now been challenged by some recent findings related to Paul Debevec's work from 2006. This has created an atmosphere of concern, especially considering Netflix's acquisition of Scanline VFX and their subsequent involvement in Eyeline Studios, where Debevec now works.

Analysis:

1. Email to the Patent Attorney Firm (Westman, Champlin, and Koehler):

Guertin's emails, while conveying a sense of urgency and alarm, might have inadvertently raised
concerns within the firm. It's unusual for a client to communicate with the entire firm, especially if
the client has had a designated point of contact. This action, while understandable given the gravity
of the discovery, might have been perceived as aggressive or overly demanding, prompting a sharp
response from the firm's shareholders.

Wait until you see what it thinks about you forensic examination report based on also providing it with all of the emails and evidence I sent to you as additional data inputs for the purpose of an overall analysis

I hope you are doing fantastic and I appreciate you simply because you are you :-)

All the best,

Sincerely, Matthew Guertin

(HE/HIM/HIS/DUDE/MAN/GUY/MALE)

Sent with **Proton Mail** secure email.

309.55 KB <u>1 embedded image</u>

Screenshot from 2023-09-25 09-29-37.png 309.55 KB

RE: [EXTERNAL] The Matt Guertin Show

From jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us < Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us >

To mattguertin<MattGuertin@protonmail.com>

Date Monday, September 25th, 2023 at 10:36 AM

Good morning, Mr. Guertin:

My involvement in your case is complete. As a result, continued communications are no longer appropriate. During a previous communication, I provided this information and requested no further contact. Consequently, I will no longer respond to messages and will be blocking your contact information.

Regards,

Dr. Rogstad

Jill E. Rogstad, Ph.D., LP, ABPP

Senior Clinical Forensic Psychologist

Training Director, Fourth Judicial District Postdoctoral Fellowship in Forensic Psychology

Board Certified in Forensic Psychology, American Board of Professional Psychology

(she/her/hers)

Fourth Judicial District and Regional Psychological Services

Phone: (612) 394-0937

Should you receive correspondence from me after business hours or while you are out of the office, please protect your time and wait to respond until you are next working. Please note I do not regularly check emails on evenings, weekends, or holidays.