

Re: [EXTERNAL] Matthew David Guertin / Preliminary Introduction and discussion of facts

From mattguertin <MattGuertin@protonmail.com>
To jill.rogstad@courts.state.mn.us<Jill.Rogstad@courts.state.mn.us>
BCC Bruce Rivers<riverslawyers@aol.com>
Date Wednesday, February 15th, 2023 at 6:11 PM

Jill,

This sounds good / reasonable. Thank you.

One of the other things then which I am wondering then however is the following - which is essentially the same exact question asked in a variety of ways for clarity's sake:

Will you be audio and video documenting our meeting?

If I bring a laptop / device to share with you that which pertains to the topics you would like to discuss with me will my 'presentation' of digital information also be clearly documented in a way where if our meeting was reviewed at a later date for some reason that whoever is viewing it would be able to see, hear, and clearly identify that which I am sharing with you on said device?

In regards to any audio or video recording of our meeting that you and the county obtain from our meeting is there some kind of standard/ protocol/procedure regarding where that data is archived, who can access it and under what circumstances, etc, etc. What is the normal procedure basically?

I know that you are presented as a 'neutral' or 'objective' opinion for all intents and purposes. Based upon the fact that you are a PHD, have published papers, appear in various case law discussions in addition to the most obvious of them all which would be your current position as a clinical forensic psychologist it is obvious to me that you must be very good at what you do as a lot of people trust your opinion. So with all of that said I believe what would be a more unlikely case is if you didn't have a natural bias which weighs in favor of the state and ultimately the prosecution since that's basically your employer. I'm not talking about corruption or intentional deceit, etc... rather I am just discussing simple human nature as far as most people who are happily employed developing a healthy and natural sense of loyalty to those that employ them even if that that loyalty (bias) is on a subconscious level. To get to the point of my bringing this up what I am wondering then is how exactly does mine and yours relationship defer from that of an ordinary

doctor & patient / doctor & client relationship in regards to HIPAA laws...and particularly those that are enforced in MN?

What is any changes/differences are there as opposed to if you were a privately practicing psychologist and I came to your office and said I'd like to pay you your required fee to have you perform a psychological analysis on me for X and Y reasons?

Is the attached PDF that I've read through and took the time to highlight still considered to be 'current' based on your knowledge?

If these HIPAA laws are still current I am assuming that even if I am unable to personally record any audio or video of our meeting on my own that I would still be able to request and obtain the audio and video you record of the meeting as it would ultimately be considered 'doctors notes' correct? If I am wrong please feel free to provide me with the supporting documents which clearly state this so I can lay it to rest as an issue of mine.

Thank you,

Matthew Guertin
Inventor / Founder / CEO
InfiniSet, Inc.
Minneapolis, MN
763--221-4540

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

698.32 KB [1 file attached](#)

[psychotherapy.pdf](#) 698.32 KB